IMPACT OF STRATEGIC ORIENTATION ON FIRM PERFORMNACE OF SMEs



By

K.D.Y.C.PREMATHILAKA

REG. NUMBER: EU/IS/2014/COM/79

INDEX NUMBER: COM 1849



FCM2437
Project Report
Library - EUSL

A Project Report Submitted to the Faculty of Commerce and Management,

Eastern University, Sri Lanka as A Partial fulfillment of the requirement of the

Degree of Bachelor of Commerce Honors (BcomHons)

Department of Commerce

Faculty of Commerce and Management

Eastern University, Sri Lanka

2020

PROCESSED
MAIN LIBRARY, EUSL

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to examine the impact of strategic orientation on firm performance of SMEs in Ratnapura District. SMEs contributes to generation of employment, generation of income, contribution for social activities and reduction poverty. SMEs have been recognized as an important strategic sector for promoting growth and social development of Sri Lanka. Organization response for environmental changes according to the strategic orientation. Furthermore, organizations have to face the competitive market with globalization and technological change. So there is need for consider about SMEs strategic on firm performance.

The study was conducted by collecting primary data through a structured questionnaire by using five point likert scale. For this study 344 SMEs owner mangers were selected. And used the random sampling method. Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22 used to analyze the data. Data analyze is done by using Reliability, descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation coefficient and regression analysis.

In this study determinants are Aggressiveness, Analysis, Defensiveness, Futurity, Proactiveness and Riskiness. The dependent variable of this study is firm performance. It has been measured by the perceived measures on profitability and the sales growth. This research study is analysis the personal information and the research information. As per the research findings the strategic orientation positively impact on the firm performance in SMEs in Rathnapura district.

As a recommendation Supportive institutions such as Industrial Development Board, Provincial Council and Ministry of Small Industry should can provid effective awareness programs, training programs and workshops to enhance and improve strategic orientation.

The results of this study is essential for the owners and managers of the SMEs to improve the firm performance through the strategic orientation. And also the quality makers and the regulatory bodies.

Keywords: Strategic Orientation, Analysis, Defensiveness, Futurity, Pro-activeness, Riskiness, Firm Performa

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDEMENTi
ABSTRACTii
LIST OF TABLESvii
LIST OF FIGURESx
CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION1
1.1 Background of the Study
1.2 Problem Statement
1.3. Research Questions
1.4. Objectives of the Study
1.5. Scope of the Study
1.6. Significance of the Study
1.7. Chapter Summary
CHAPTER TWO
LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Introduction
2.2 Strategy5
2.3 Strategic Orientation
2.4 Construct of Strategic Orientation 9
2.5 Organization performance
2.6 Strategic Orientation and Organization Performance
2.7 Small and Medium Enterprises
2.8 Empirical Studies
2.9 Chapter Summary
CHAPTER THREE
CONCEPTUALIZATION AND OPERATIONALIZATION
3.1 Introduction

3.3 Conceptual Framework	
	17
3.4 Research Variables	
3.4.1 Aggressiveness	17
3.4.2 Analysis	17
3.4.3 Defensiveness	18
3.4.4 Futurity	18
3.4.5 Pro-activeness	18
3.4.6 Riskiness	18
3.5 Strategic Orientation	19
3.6 Organization performance	19
3.7 Operationalization	20
3.8 Chapter Summary	22
CHAPTER FOUR	23
METHODOLOGY	23
4.1 Introduction	23
4.2 Population and Sample Selection	23
4.2.1 Study Population	23
4.2.2 Sample Size	23
4.3 Method of Data Collection.	25
4.3.1 Primary Data	25
4.3.2 Secondary Data	25
4.4 Structure of the Questionnaire	25
4.5 Methods of Data Presentation	26
4.6 Methods of Data Analysis	26
4.7 Statistical Tools	
4.7.1 Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Test	
4.7.2 Univariate Analysis and Evaluation	

4.7.3 Correlation Analysis	28
4.7.4 Simple Regression Analysis.	29
4.7.5 Multiple Regression Analysis	29
4.8 Method of Data Evaluation	29
4.9 Chapter Summary	30
CHAPTER FIVE	31
DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS	31
5.1 Introduction	31
5.2 Analysis of Reliability of the Instrument.	31
5.3 Data Presentation.	32
5.3.1 Data Presentation and Analysis of Personal Information	32
5.3.2 Data Presentation and Analysis of Research Objectives	36
5.4 Chapter Summary	57
CHAPTER SIX	58
DISCUSSION OF FINDING	58
6.1 Introduction	58
6.2 Discussion of respondent profile	58
6.3 Discussion of Research Information	59
6.3.1 Discussion - Objective One	59
6.3.3 Discussion – Objective Three	60
6.3.4 Discussion – Objective four	61
6.4 Chapter Summary	62
CHAPTER SEVEN.	63
CONCLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	63
7.1 Chapter Introduction	63
7.2 Conclusion	63
7.2.1 Conclusion on Level of Strategic Orientation	63
7.2.2 Conclusion on Level of organization performance	64

	7.2.3 Conclusion on the Relationship between Strategic Orientation and F Performance	
	7.2.4 To identify the impact between strategic orientation and organizate performance	
	7.3 Limitations of the Study and Suggestions for Future Studies	64
	7.4 Recommendations	65
	7.5 Suggestions for Future Research.	66
	7.6 Chapter Summary	66
R	REFERENCS	68
A	APPENDIX- I	72
A	APPENDIX- II	81

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. 1: Different Definitions for Strategic Orientation	3
Table 3. 1: Operationalization	1
Table 4. 1:Population and Sample of SMEs	4
Table 4. 2:Decision Criteria for Univariate Analysis	8
Table 4. 3: Correlation Analysis	7
Table 4. 4:Data Evaluation	О
Table 5. 1: Reliability Analysis for Over All Variables	1
Table 5. 2: Reliability of Overall Dimension	1
Table 5. 3: Frequency of Gender	3
Table 5. 4: Frequency of Age	3
Table 5. 5: Business Type	4
Table 5. 6: Number of Employees	4
Table 5. 7: Organization Establishment	5
Table 5. 8: Educational Qualification	5
Table 5. 9: Strategic Orientation	6
Table 5. 10: Overall Frequency Level of Strategic Orientation	7
Table 5. 11: Mean and Standard Deviation of Aggressiveness	7
Table 5. 12: Frequency Level of Aggressiveness	8
Table 5. 13: Mean and Standard Deviation of Analysis	8
Table 5. 14: Frequency Level of Analysis	8

Table 5. 15: Mean and Standard Deviation of Defensiveness
Table 5. 16: Frequency Level of Defensiveness
Table 5. 17: Mean and Standard Deviation of Futurity
Table 5. 18: Frequency Level of Futurity
Table 5. 19Mean and Standard Deviation of Pro-activeness
Table 5. 20: Frequency Level of Pro-activeness
Table 5. 21: Mean and Standard Deviation of Riskiness
Table 5. 22: Frequency Level of Riskiness
Table 5. 23: Organization Performance
Table 5. 24: Overall Frequency Level of Firm Performance
Table 5. 25:Mean and Standard Deviation of Sales growth
Table 5. 26: Overall Frequency Level of Sales growth
Table 5. 27: Mean and Standard Deviation of Profitability
Table 5. 28: Overall Frequency Level of Profitability
Table 5. 29: Correlation between overall strategic orientation and Firm Performance
Table 5. 30: Correlations –Strategic orientation and Firm Performance
Table 5. 31: Simple regression analysis
Table 5. 32: Simple regression analysis
Table 5. 33: Model Summary
Table 5. 34: ANOVA
Table 5. 35: Coefficients

Table 5. 36: Model Summary	54
Table 5. 37: ANOVA	55
Table 5. 38: Coefficients.	55

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3. 1: Conceptual framework	17
Figure 5. 1: Gender of SMEs owners	33
Figure 5. 2: Age of the SME's Owners	33
Figure 5. 3: Types of Business	34
Figure 5. 4:Number of Employees	. 34
Figure 5. 5 :Organizational Establishment	. 35
Figure 5. 6: Educational Qualification	. 35