CONSUMER SATISFACTION AND COMPARITIVE STUDY OF ANCHOR MILK POWDER AND NESPRAY MILK POWDER IN AMPARA DISTRICT



JEYA PREINTHA VYTHILINGAM EU/IS/00/MS/23 INDEX NO – MS 427



DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT, FACULTY OF COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT, EASTERN UNIVERSITY, SRILANKA 2005.

ABSTRACT

Adopting the customer viewpoint is the essence of success in today's business world, because of the continuous and rapid changing environment and dramatic innovation in the information technology. The purpose of this research study is to identify the consumer satisfaction and comparative study of anchor milk powder and Nespvay mills powder in the Ampana District. The marketers' survival depends on consumer perception and their satisfaction of the particular brand. The conceptualization frame for this research study clearly disclosed about the elements included in the consumer statisfaction, elements of marketing mix, other stimuli, buyers' characteristics, consumer perception, and decision making process. The conceptualization model was driven from the objectives of the particular research study. For this research study all 5 Divisional Secretariats Divisions were selected and 200 questionnaires were issued to households in order to collect data to meet the objectives of the research study. Those 200 consumer questionnaires distributed according to the proportion of population in the 5 Divisional Secretariass Divisions. The obtained dates were analyzed using the univariate analyses through Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS). Researcher has come to the conclusion,

When observing over all marketing mix. Anchor means value is 3.235and Nespray mean value is 3.196. They have little significant differences in these two products brands. In marketing mix 16.4% of anchor respondents and 10% of Nespray respondents are low influence. As well as in respectively \$8.6% and 76.7% are high influence, Here over all marketing mix is more influence in two types of categories of brands. When observing over all other stimuli Anchor mean value is 2.898 and Nespray mean value is 2.682. They have little significant differences in these two products brands. In other stimuli 72.2% of anchor respondents and 98.3% of Nespray respondents are low influence. As well as in respectively 21.4% and 1.7% are high influence. Here over all other stimuli low influence in two types of categories of brands. When observing over all buyers characteristics. Anchor mean value is 2.90 and Nespray mean value is 2.40. In buyer characteristics 32.1% of anchor respondents and 98.3% of Nespray respondents are low influence. As well as in respectively 65.0% and 1.7% are high influence. Here over all buyer characteristics is low influence in Nespray brand and moderate high influence in anchor brand.

Contents

Chapters	Page
Acknowledgement	EV
Abstract	v
Contents	VII
List of tables	XI
List of fligures	XII
Chapter 1 ITRODUCTION	1
1.0 Over view	2
1.2 Problem identification	3
1.3 Objective of the research	4
1.4 Methodology	4
1.5 Data	5
1.5.1 Type of data	5
1.6 Method of collection	6
1.7 Limitation of the study	7
1.8 Assumption of the study	7
1.9 Conceptualization	8
1.10 Concept frame	9
Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.0 Introduction	10
2.0.1 The study of consumer behaviour	10
2.1 Marketing mix	11
2.1.1 Product	13
2.1.2 Place	13
2.1.3 Price	14
2.1.4 Promotion	14
2.2 Environment background	15

		2.2.1	Deliming environment		
			2.2.1.1 Demographic	environment	16
			2.2.1.2 Economic en	vironment	18
			2.2.1.3 Technologica	all environment	19
			2.2.1.4 Political envi	ironment	19
	2.3	Buyer chara	cteristics		20
		2.3.1			20
		2.3.2	Psychological factors		21
		2.3.3	Social factors		22
		2.3.4	Cultural factors		23
	2.4	Decision ma	iking process		24
	2.5	Perception			25
	2.6	Consumer s	atisfaction		26
	2.7	Summary			27
Chapter	0.3	Research r	nethodology		
	30	Introduction	1		28
	3.1	Сопсерпиа	frame		29
	3.2	Population	and sampling	*	30
7	3.3	Method of	data collection		31
	3,4	Questionn	nire administration		34
	43.5	Data analy	sis		35
	3.6	5 Data prese	ntation	1	35
	3.3	7 Method of	data evaluation	1 . 1	35
	3.8	8 Aggregate	score of the sample varia	ables	37

Chapter 04 Data Presentation

4.0	Introduction		41
4.1	Consumer i	nformation	41
	4.1.1	Personal information	41
		4.1.1.1 Area	42
		4.II.II.2 Age pattern	42
		4_U_U_3 Nationality	43
		4.1.1.4 Education	44
		4.II.II.5 Occupation	44
		4.1.1.6 Family members	44
		4.1.1.7 Income level	45
	4.1.2	Research information	45
	4.1.3	Over all aggregate scare of milk powder	46
	4.1.4	Marketing mix	47
		4.1.4.1 Over all marketing mix	47
		4.1.4.2 Product	48
		4.1.4.3 Price	49
		4.1.4.4 Promotion	51
		4.1.4.5 Place	52
	4.1.5	Other stimuli	53
		4.1.5.1 Over all other stimuli	54
		4.1.5.2 Demographic factor	54
		4.1.5.3 Economic factors	56
		4.1.5.4 Technological factors	57
		4.1.5.5 Political factors	59
	4.1.6	Buyer characteristics	60
		4.1.6.1 Ower all Buyer characteristics	60
	1	4.1.6.2 Personal factors	61
		4.1.6.3 Psychological factors	61
		4.1.6.4 Social factors	62
		4.1.6.5 Cultural factors	64

	4.1.7 Purchase decision and process	65
	4.1.7.1 Important attributes	66
	4.1.7.2 Type of containers	66
	4.11.7.3 Quantity of milk powder	66
	4.0.7.4 Influencing person	67
Chapter	05 Discussion	
	5.0 Introduction	68
	5.1 Discussion of personal information	68
	5.II.II Area	68
	5.II.2 Number of children age pattern	69
	5_I_3 Number of elders & age patterns	69
	5.1.4 Nationality	69
	5.1.5 Education & qualification	70
	5.1.6 Family members	70
	5.2 Marketing mix	71
	5.3 Other stimuli	73
	5.4 Buyer characteristics	75
	5.5 Brand decision and choice	77
	5.6 Over all discussion	78
Chapter	06 Conclusion	
	6.0 Instruction	81
	6.1 Conclusion	82
	6.2 Recommendation	84
	6.3 Implication of this study	0.0