
A study on the effectiveness of the rehabilitation programmes of the Centre for Human Resource Development (CHRD) in rehabilitate the beneficiaries in Eravur Pattu Divisional Secretariat division



THAYANI GUNARATNAM



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
FACULTY OF COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT
EASTERN UNIVERSITY
SRILANKA

2007

ABSTRACT

As the consequences of violence continuing after 1990, the socio-economic well-being of the people living in this division becomes worse. The people could not initiate new self-employment ventures and even continue their noutine employment activities because lack of financial facilities, infrastructure, raw material, technical knowledge etc. In order to improve this situation CHRD are implemented several projects. So many projects were carried out in division, even through the beneficiaries still not rehabilitated properly.

The main objective of this research study is to identify about the effectiveness of the rehabilitation programmes that are being undertaken the CHRD, based on the satisfaction of the people those who are coming under the programmes.

The qualitative and quantitative research approach specifically designed to evaluate the key success factors for the effectiveness of the past activities of the CHRD which had played in rehabilitation programmes in Eraxur Pattu division. 100 Questionnaires were issued to beneficiaries who are residing Eravur Pattu divisional secretariat division.

Collected data analysed through the SPSS package. Frequency distribution, mean and standard deviation are used to determine the effectiveness of the rehabilitation programmes.

According to this study it was found that the moderate satisfaction among the beneficiaries with regard to the rehabilitation programmes. As beneficiaries are said to be at moderate satisfied state, Rehabilitation programmes of CHRD in rehabiliting the beneficiaries in Eravur Pattu Düvisional Secretarat division are found that it was not fully effectiveness. Hence, by identifying the needs, planning and coordinating rehabilitation programmes by CHRD, rehabilitation takes may be implemented effectively.

Acknowledgement	I
Abstract	Ш
Table of Contents	EUD
List of Tables	VII
List of Figures	VIII
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction	01
1.2 Background of Study	01
1.3 Problem Statement	03
1.4 Research Questions	03
1.5 Objectives of Study	04
1.6 Limitations of Study	04
1.7 Assumptions of the Study	0.5
IL& Summary	05
Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	06
2.2 Definitions of rehabilitation	06
2.2.1 Main components and goals of rehabilitation	0.7
2.3 What is a project	09
2.3.1Project parameters	₩ 09
2.3.2Main Stages and Activities of any Project	1 10
2.3.3 Success Factors of a Project	- 10
2.3.4Bottile necks in Project Implementation	11
2.4 Evaluation	12
2.4.1 The Primary Purpose of Evaluation	12
2.4.2 Indicators to Measure the Impact of A Programme.	13
2.4.3 Methods of evaluation	13
2.4.4 What is Project Evaluation?	14

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

2.4.5 Difference between Project Appraisal and Evaluation	14
2.4.6 When to Plan the Evaluation	115
2.4.7 How Project Evaluates	15
2.5 Quality of life	16
2.5.1 The reasons for the low quality of life	17
2.5.2 Measures of quality of life	
2.5.2.1 Education	17
2.5.2.2 Health	18
2.5.2.3 Family life	18
2.5.2.4 Income	19
2.5.2.5 Housing	19
2.6 Rural development	20
2.6.1 Basic elements of Rural development	21
2.6.2 Development of rural areas	21
2.6.3 Concept of education for nucl areas	22
2.6.3.1 Functions of education	23
2.6.3.2 General trends of education	24
2.6.3.3 Mass education	24
2.6.3.4 Basic education	25
2.6.3.5 Educational requirement	27
2.6.3.6 Vocational education -	28
2.6.4 Health:- World Health Approach	2/8
2.6.5 Micro credit	29
2.7 Background about the firm	31
2.3 Summary	33
Chapter 3 CONCEPTUALIZATION & OPERATIONALIZATION	DN
3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 Conceptualization	34
3.3 Operationallization	36
3.4 Summary	37

Chapter 4 METHODOLOGIES

4.1 Introduction		38
4.2 Study setting, Design and Method of s	survey	38
4.3 Sampling.		39
4.4 Data Collection		40
4.4.1Primary data collection		40
4.4.2 Secondary data collection		40
4.4.3 Structure of Questionnaire		41
4.5 Method of measures		42
4.6 Methods of Data presentation, Analysis	is and Evaluation	42
4.7 Summary		43
Chapter 5 DATA PRESENTATION & AN	ALYSIS	
5.1 Introduction		44
5.2 Personal Information		44
5.2.1 Gender		44
5.2.2 Age		45
5.2.3 Income	- *	46
5.2.4 Educational Qualification	× 2	47
5.2.5 Occupation	6 - 2	48
5.3 Research Information		48
5.3.1 Social facilities		49
5.3.1.1 Education		49
5.3.1.2 Health	1 1	50
5.3.1.3 Self-development		52
5.3.2 Agriculture facilities		54
5.3.3 Economic Facilities		55
5.4 Summary	* 2	56

Chapter 6 DISCUSSION

6.1 Introduction	57
6.2 Personal Information	57
6.3Discussion on the research variables	58
6.3.1 Education	58
6.3.2 Health	59
6.3.3 Self-development	59
6.3.4 Agriculture	60
63.5 Economic facilies	60
6.4 Summary	61
Chapter 7 CONCLUSION & RECOMMEND	ATION
.1 Introduction	62
2 Conclusions and Recommendations	62
7.2.1 Conclusion	62
7.2.2. Recommendations.	63
7.2.2.1Education	- 🧎 64
7.2.2.2 Health	, 64
7.2.2.5 Sellf-development	65
7.2.2.4 Agriculture	65
7.2.2.5 Economic facilitis	. 66
3 Limitations of the study	66
4 Implications	- 67
5 Summary	_ 67
ppendices ppendix 1 Questionnaire	
ppenara i Questionisme	68
References	71