

VARIETAL' EVALUATION OF FIVE IMPROVED PIGEONPEA (Cajanus cajan L.) GENOTYPES IN SANDY REGOSOLS

BY

KULARASASINGAM PASKARAN

A RESEARCH REPORT
SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS OF THE ADVANCED COURSE

IN

AGRICULTURAL BIOLOGY

FOR

THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE

FAG27
Project Report
Library - EUSL

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE TASTERN UNIVERSITY

SHRI LANKA

1992

*-- APPROVED BY -

N. Ombady SUPERVISOR

SUPERVISOR

DR.V.ARULNANTHY

HEAD / ANIMAL SCIENCE

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

EASTERN UNIVERSITY, SHRI LANKA

CHENKALADY

DATE : 02.08.93

HEAD / AGRONOMY

DR.S.RAVEENDRANATH

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

EASTERN UNIVERSITY, SHRI LANKA

CHENKALADY

DATE :

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted to evaluate four improved pigeonpea (<u>Cajanus cajan</u> (L.) Mill sp.) genotypes and a check cultivar on sandy regosols during the period from December, 1991 to May, 1992 at the agricultural farm of the Eastern University, Chenkalady; located in the Eastern region of Shri Lanka.

The genotypes ICPL 88018, ICPL 88026, ICPL 87098, ICPL 90009 and the check variety ICPL 87 were planted in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with four replications and the trial was conducted under the recomended cultural practices. The data on days to 50% flowering, days to 100% flowering, plant height at 50% flowering, plant height at 100% flowering, days to 50% podding, days to 100% podding, nodule dry weight, pod/seed ratio, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, one hundred seed weight, days to maturity, seed yield, and insect damage were collected in this investigation.

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) studies indicated that growth parameters such as days to 50% flowering, days to 100% flowering and days to maturity; Yield components such as pod/seed ratio, 100-seed weight and damage by insect pest had significant differences among the genotypes tested. Other characters studied, including seed yield, did not show significant differences among the genotypes. Significant correlations were observed between the following plant characteristics of pigeonpea

days to 50% flowering with days to 100% flowering, plant height at 100% flowering, days to 100% podding, and days to maturity, plant height at 50% flowering with days to 100% flowering, insect damage, and pod/seed ratio.

Among the new genotypes tested ICPL 88026 and ICPL 87098 produced seed yield closer to the check cultivar ICPL 87. These two new genotypes possessed many traits in common with ICPL 87 but the remarkable feature was that they showed low level of insect damage by pod borer complex (Maruca testulalis, Helicoverpa armigera, Spodoptera sp.) which are supposed to be the major constraints in the expansion of pigeonpea production.

It is noteworthy to highlight that ICPL 87098 showed a significantly lower level of insect damage than the check cultivar ICPL 87. It may be possible to conclude that ICPL 88026 and ICPL 87098 are the potential candidates as the substitutes for ICPL 87 inorder to grow on sandy regosols under the conditions prevailing in the Eastern region of Shri Lanka. Further more, a short-duration genotypes ICPL 90009 maturing in 95 days has also been identified. Since pigeonpea is a new crop in this region more investigations in cultivar identification and also in agronomic aspects are needed in the near future for the expansion of this crop in the Eastern region of Shri Lanka.

CONTENTS

A	BSTRAC	CT	i
A	CKNOWI	LEDGEMENT	iii
C	ONTENT	rs —	iv
L	IST OF	TABLES V	iii
L	IST OF	FIGURES	ix
A	PPEND	ICES	
1	INTRO	DDUCTION	1
		tepanguanak Dankan and olohuang	
	1.1	General Description of pigeonpea	1
1	1.2	Centre of Origin	1
	1.3	Reason for Selection	1
,	1.4	Chemical Composition of Pigeonpea	4
	1.5	Pigeonpea as a Substitute For Masoor Dhal	4
	1.6	Constraints for the Expansion of Pigeonpea	
		production	5
	1.7	Objective of the Study	5
1			
2	REVI	EW OF LITERATURE	7
7	A		
	2.1	Origin and Distribution of Pigeonpea	7
	2.2	Botany of The Crop	7
	2.3	The need for the Expansion	8
	2.4	Nitrogen Fixation of Pigeonpea	9
	2.5	Pigeonpea Cultivars in the World Collection	10
	2.6	Determinate Vs Indeterminate types of Pigeonpea	11

	2.7	Cultivars	12
	2.8	Improved Cultivars	13
	2.9	Improvement of Pigeonpea	14
	2.10	Cultivar Development in Shri Lanka	15
3	MATE	RIALS AND METHODS	16
		Not to Mant height	
	3.1	Location	16
	3.2	Varieties of Pigeonpea	16
	3.3	Experimental Design and planting	21
		-1.5 S Kundred Sept Yelshi	
-		3.3.1 Experimental Design	21
		3.3.2 Plot Size	21
		3.3.3 Spacing	21
		3.3.4 Effective row	21
	3.4	Agronomic practices	22
		Days to, 100:8 flowering.	
		3.4.1 Land Preparation	22
		3.4.2 Planting	22
		3.4.3 Fertilizer Application	22
Sec. 1	*	3.4.4 Irrigation	23
		3.4.5 Pest and Disease Control	23
		3.4.6 Weed control	23
		3.4.7 Gapfilling and Earthing up	24

	3.5	Measurement and Observation	24
		3.5.1 Assesment of nodulation	24
		3.5.2 Yield estimation	24
		3.5.3 Days to Flowering	25
		3.5.4 Days to Maturity	25
		3.5.5 Plant height	25
		3.5.6 pods per plant	25
		3.5.7 Dry Grain Yield	26
		3.5.8 Number of Seeds per pod	26
		3.5.9 Hundred Seed Weight	26
1	The same of the sa		
	3.6	Statistical analysis	26
2	RESUI	TS AND DISCUSSION	26
	4.1	Days to 50 % Flowering	27
	4.2	Days to 100 % Flowering	28
	4.3	Plant Height at 50 % Flowering	29
	4.4	Plant Height at 100 % Flowering	30
		Nodule Dry Weight	31
34	4.6	Days to 50 % Podding	32
	4.7	Days to 100 % Podding	32
	4.8	Days to maturity	33
	4.9	Insect damage	34
	4.10	Number of pods per plant	40
	4.11	Pod/Seed ratio	40
	4.12	Seed Yield	42