STUDY ON THE FACTORS THAT LEAD TO THE PERFORMANCE OF NON-ACADEMIC STAFF OF EASTERN UNIVERSITY, SRILANKA (EUSL)



MISS. CHRISTINAWATHY SELLATHURAL



DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT
FACULTY OF COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT
EASTERN UNIVERSITY, SRILANKA
2011

ABSTRACT

The present study intended the title "Study on the factors that lead to the performance of non-academic staff of EUSL." Enhancing employees' performance is an essential tool for organizations' success. Managing employee performance every day is the key to an effective performance. Performance is a description of what is expected of employees, plus the continues orientation of employees towards effective job performance. Performance the degree to which an employee contribution to the goals of his or her behavior and the application of skills, abilities and knowledge.

In EUSL two types of staff are working. One of academic staff and second one is non-academic staff. Non-academic staffs are more numbers rather than academic staffs. Academic staffs are providing only academic services to only students but non-academic staffs are providing many services such as finance services, students welfare services, students and staff inquiries, maintenances services, administrating services, establishing services, supplying services etc. to students and also staffs of EUSL. So those non-academic staffs' performance is very important. In provide above services, better performance must be need to those non-academic staffs. Considering non-academic staff of EUSL, here non-academic staffs' performance is poor because some functions of those non-academic staff is delaying by employee poor performance therefore, this research is conducted to Study on the factors that lead to the performance of non-academic staff of EUSL.

For this study, the conceptualization framework consists of five variables as job function, misunderstood goals, mismanagement, mismatched ability and apathy. Primary data and secondary data were used this research. The primary data were collected from the administrated closed-ended questionnaire 100 respondents were randomly selected from non-academic staff of EUSL and the questionnaire were issued to them to collected the data. The collected data were presented in the form of tables and bar charts.

The overall research findings indicate that the non-academic staffs have moderate performance. The non-academic staffs' performance was high regarding the job function and mismatched ability. But most of the staff neither agree or disagree with the misunderstood goals, mismanagement and apathy. Hence, several ways have been suggested as recommendations at the end of the research to further development of their services.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		
ABSTRACT		
ABBREVIATIONS		IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS		v
LIST OF TABLES	***************************************	XI
LIST OF FIGURES		
CHAPTER - 01 INTRODUCTION		1-6
I.I. Background of Study		
1.2. Problem statement	***	-4-
1.3. Research Questions	********************************	4-
1.4. Objectives of the Study		4 -
1.4.1.Main Objective of the study:	* 1	4 -
1.4.2.Specific objectives of the study:		4-
1.5. Scope of the Study	* #	5 -
1.6. Significance of the study		6-
1.7. Assumptions		
1.8. Summary		6-
CHAPTER - 02 LITERATURE REVIEW		7 - 20
2.1 Introduction		7-
2.2 Definitions of Performance		7-

2.3 Poor Performance		8-
2.4 Responding to poor performance.		9-
2.4.1 Multiple causes		9-
2.4.2 Causal attribution theory		10 -
2.4.3 Coaching analysis		II -
2.5 Reasons for poor employee performance		115 -
2.5.1 Job Function:-		
2.5.2 Misunderstood Goals:-		115 -
2.5.3 Mismanagement -		16 -
2.5.4 Apathy:-		16 -
2.5.5 Mismatched Abilities:-		
2.6 Assessment of Performance		17 -
2.7 Performance Appraisal		
2.8 Structure of non-academic staff of Eastern Univ		
2.9Categories of non-academic staff of Eastern Uni	iversity, SriLanka	20 -
2.10 Summary		
CHAPTER - 03 CONCEPTULIZATION AND OP	ERATIONALIZATION	21-26
3.1 Introduction		21 -
3.2 Conceptualization		21 -
3.3 Definitions of key concepts and variables		23 -
	- ·	
3.3.1 Employee performance	200	
3.3.2.1 Job Function:-		
3.3.2.2 Misunderstood Goals -		-23-

3.3.2.3 Mismanagement:-	24 -
3.3.2.4 Apathy:-	- 24 -
3.3.2.5 Mismatched Abilities:-	
3.4 Operationallization.	25 -
3.5 Summary	- 26 -
CHAPTER - 04 METHODOLOGY	28 - 40
4.1 Introduction	- 28 -
4.2 Study Setting, Design and Method of Survey	- 28 -
4.3 Population and Sampling	- 29 -
4.3.1 Sample selection	- 29 -
4.3.2 Sample size	- 30 -
4.3.3 Sampling Method	- 30 -
4.4 Data Collection	-31 -
4.4.1 Sources of data	
4.4.1.1 Primary data	32-
4.4.1.2 Secondary data	- 32 -
4.5 Method of measurement	- 32 -
4.5.1 Questionnaire	34 -
4.5.1.1 Questionnaire Administration	- 36 -
4.5.2 Interview	- 36 -
4.5.3 Observation	- 37 -
4.6 Method of Data Analysis, Data Presentation and Data Evaluation	- 37 -
4.6.1 Method of Data Analysis	- 37 -
4.6.2 Data Presentation	-38 -

4.6.3 Method of Data Evaluation.	3/
4.7 Summary	- 40
CHAPTER - 05 DATA PRESENTATION AND ANA	ALYSIS 41 - 6
5_ll Introduction	4
5.2 Personal Information	
5.2.1 Designation	- 4
5.2.2 Name of Branch	- 4
5.2.3 Gender Distribution	- 4
5.2.4 Age Distribution	
5.2.5 Experience level.	4
5.2.6 Educational Qualification	4
5.3 Research Information.	
5.3.1 Job Function	
5.3.2 Misunderstood Goals	
5.3.3 Mismanagement	
5.3.4 Mismatched Abilities	
5.3.5 Apathy	4
5.3.6 Overall view of variable	. 4
5.3.7 Overall Analysis of Non-academic staff Perfo 5.4 The Relationship between Personal Information and	
5.4 The Relationship between Personal Information and staff Performance - Cross Tabulation Analysis	
5.5 Summery	, w

CHAPTER - 06 DISCUSSION		67 - 77
6.1 Introduction.		67 -
6.2 Personal Information		
6.2.1 Designation		
6.2.2 Name of branch.		
6.2.3 Gender		
6.2.4 Age		
6.2.5 Experience level		
6.2.6 Education level		
6.3 Research Information		
6.3.1 Job Function		
6.3.2 Misunderstood Goals		
6.3.3 Mismanagement		
6.3.4Mismatched ability		
6.3.5 Apathy		
6.4 Overall Discussion about Non-academic staff		
6.5 Summary		
6.5 Summary	* #	
	4 .	TO SOME TOWARD
CHAPTER - 07 CONCLUSIONS AND RECO	OMMENDATIONS	78 - 85
7.1 Introduction.		- 78 -
7.2 Conclusions.		78 -
7.3. Recommendations.		79 -
7.3.1. Job function		- 79 -
7.3.2. Misunderstood goals		81 -
7.3.3. Mismanagement.		82 -

73.4. Mismatched Ability	- 82 -
73.5. Apathy	83 -
7.4. Limitations of the study	84 -
7.5. Implications of the study	84 -
7.6. Summary	- 85 -
REFERENCES	XIV
APPENDIX = 01	XV

.
