

# DEVELOPMENT OF MAIZE - SOY BASED SUPPLEMENTARY FOOD AND EVALUATION OF QUALITY PARAMETERS

By

PR

**SOMASUNDARAM SUTHARSAN**

501.406413  
SUT

A RESEARCH REPORT  
SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF  
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE  
ADVANCED COURSE

IN

**FOOD SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY**

FOR

THE DEGREE OF

**BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE**

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

EASTERN UNIVERSITY, SRI LANKA



FAG101



Project Report  
Library - EUSL

2000

Approved By

**39429**

*T.D.W. Siriwardena*

*T.Mahendran*

*T.Mahendran*

Mr. T.D.W. Siriwardena  
Supervisor,  
Head,  
Soybean Food research Unit  
Gannoruwa.  
Peradeniya.  
Date: 11.01.2001

Dr.(Mrs).T.Mahendran  
Supervisor,  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Eastern University  
Sri Lanka.  
Chenkalady.  
Date: 15/01/2001

Dr.(Mrs).T.Mahendran  
Head/Dept. of Agronomy  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Eastern University  
Sri Lanka.  
Chenkalady.  
Date: 15/01/2001

**HEAD**  
Dept. of Agronomy  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Eastern University, Sri Lanka.

**PROCESSED**

## ABSTRACT

Throughout history, hunger and malnutrition, caused by a lack of nutrients or by poor health, particularly infectious diseases, which prevent the body from absorbing and utilizing food efficiently.

Studies were conducted to develop low cost nutritious Maize – Soy based supplementary food by using less capital intensive method. In addition, green gram and chickpea were added to increase the organoleptic properties and to enhance the consumer acceptability.

Proximate analysis indicated that the blend contains flours of maize, soybean, green gram and chickpea have high protein content and low fat content which is suitable for human consumption.

Sensory evaluation reveals that there is no significant difference among the developed blends. However, comparatively higher percentage of panelists selected the blend which consist flours of maize, soybean , green gram and chickpea as the best.

Moisture sorption studies were conducted to find out the ideal moisture level of the blend for long term storage. Based on the experiment the B.E.T ( Brunner-Emmet-Teller ) monolayer values were calculated at 30<sup>0</sup> C and 40<sup>0</sup> C temperatures and the values were 3.19 g moisture / 100 g dry weight and 3.05 g moisture / 100 g dry weight, respectively.

# CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF PLATES	x
ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHAPTER 1	
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2	
2.0 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1 NUTRITION AND ITS IMPORTANCE	4
2.1.1 NUTRITION SCIENCE	4
2.1.2 THE NUTRIENTS	4
2.1.3 FUNCTIONS OF NUTRIENTS	4
2.1.3.1 Carbohydrates	4
2.1.3.2 Proteins	5
2.1.3.3 Fats	5
2.1.3.4 Vitamins	5
2.1.3.5 Minerals	6
2.2 MAIZE	6
2.2.1 IMPORTANCE OF MAIZE	6
2.2.2 TYPES OF CORN GRAINS	6
2.2.3 BIOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF MAIZE	7
2.2.4 UTILIZATION OF MAIZE	7
2.2.4.1 In Human diets	7
2.2.4.2 Feed Uses	8
2.2.5 PROCESSING OF MAIZE	9
2.2.6 NUTRITIVE VALUE	9

2.2.7 BY PRODUCTS OF MAIZE	10
2.2.7.1 Agricultural Uses	10
2.2.7.2 Industrial Uses	11
<b>2.3 SOYBEAN</b>	<b>11</b>
2.3.1 IMPORTANCE OF SOYBEAN	11
2.3.2 NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF SOYBEAN	12
2.3.3 COMPOUNDS CONTRIBUTING TO THE BEANY	
ODOUR OF SOY PROTEIN ISOLATES	13
2.3.4 CURRENT UTILIZATION OF SOYBEANS	14
2.3.5 EFFICIENCY OF INACTIVATION OF TRYPSIN	
INHIBITORS AND HAEMAGGLUTININS BY	
ROASTING OF SOYBEAN	16
<b>2.4 MUNGBEAN</b>	<b>17</b>
2.4.1 NUTRITIONAL QUALITY OF MUNGBEAN	18
2.4.2 COMPOSITION OF WHOLE GRAIN AND SPLIT	
DHAL MUNGBEAN	18
2.4.3 DIGESTIBILITY BY PROTEOLYTIC ENZYMES	19
2.4.4 FLATULENCE FACTOR	20
2.4.5 FEEDING TRIALS WITH MUNGBEAN DIETS	21
<b>2.5 CHICKPEA</b>	<b>22</b>
2.5.1 UTILIZATION OF CHICKPEA	22
2.5.2 NUTRITIONAL QUALITY	23
2.5.2.1 Carbohydrates and protein	23
2.5.2.2 Fat and Crude fibre	25
2.5.2.3 Minerals and Vitamins	26
2.5.3 EFFECT OF PROCESSING ON THE NUTRITIONAL	
QUALITY OF CHICKPEA BASES PRODUCTS	26
<b>2.6 SENSORY EVALUATION</b>	<b>27</b>
2.6.1 DEFINITION AND USES OF SENSORY	
EVALUATION	27

2.6.1.1	Definition	27
2.6.1.2	Uses	28
2.6.2	PREPARING FOR THE TEST	28
2.6.2.1	Testing Area	28
2.6.2.2	Testing Setup	28
2.6.2.3	Lighting	28
2.6.2.4	Testing Schedule	29
2.6.3	PREPARING SAMPLES	29
2.6.4	SELECTION AND TRAINING OF PANELISTS	29
2.6.5	MULTIPLE COMPARISON TEST	29
<b>2.7</b>	<b>MOISTURE SORPTION ISOTHERM STUDIES</b>	<b>30</b>
2.7.1	WATER AND WATER ACTIVITY	30
2.7.2	MOISTURE SORPTION ISOTHERM	31
2.7.3	SORPTION ISOTHERM METHODS	32
2.7.4	MONOLAYER STABILITY VALUE ( $M_0$ )	33
2.7.5	B.E.T MONOLAYER CALCULATION	33
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>		
<b>3.0</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>RAW MATERIALS</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>3.2</b>	<b>PREPARATION OF FLOURS</b>	<b>34</b>
3.2.1	PREPARATION OF SOYBEAN FLOUR	34
3.2.2	PREPARATION OF MAIZE FLOUR	34
3.2.3	PREPARATION OF GREEN GRAM FLOUR	35
3.2.4	PREPARATION OF CHICKPEA FLOUR	35
<b>3.3</b>	<b>DEVELOPMENT OF DIFERENT COMBINATION OF BLENDS</b>	<b>35</b>
3.3.1	BLEND – 1	35
3.3.2	BLEND – 2	35
3.3.3	BLEND – 3	36

<b>3.4 EQUIPMENT USED FOR THE PREPARATION OF BLENDS</b>	<b>36</b>
3.4.1 JACKETED KETTLES	36
3.4.2 ELECTRIC DRIER	37
3.4.3 MICROPULVERISER	37
3.4.4 MECHANICAL DE-HULLER	37
<b>3.5 PROXIMATE ANALYSIS</b>	<b>40</b>
3.5.1 DETERMINATION OF MOISTURE CONTENT	40
3.5.2 DETERMINATION OF ASH CONTENT	40
3.5.3 DETERMINATION OF CRUDE PROTEIN	41
3.5.4 DETERMINATION OF CRUDE FAT	43
3.5.5 DETERMINATION OF CRUDE FIBER	44
3.5.6 DETERMINATION OF TOTAL SUGAR	45
3.5.7 DETERMINATION OF SOLUBLE CARBOHYDRATE	46
<b>3.6 SENSORY EVALUATION</b>	<b>46</b>
3.6.1 MATERIALS FOR THE SENSORY EVALUATION	47
3.6.2 CORDING THE SAMPLE	47
3.6.3 THE CODE NUMBERS DENOTED THE FOLLOWING SAMPLES	47
3.6.4 PREPARATION OF SAMPLES FOR PANEL TESTING	47
3.6.5 INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE TASTE PANEL	48
3.6.6 EVALUATION OF THE SAMPLES BY PANELIST	48
3.6.7 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	48
<b>3.7 MOISTURE SORPTION STUDIES</b>	<b>50</b>
3.7.1 MATERIALS	50
3.7.2 PREPATION OF SORPTION ISOTHERM DETERMINATION UNIT	50
3.7.3 DETERMINATION OF MOISTURE SORPTION	52

3.7.4 DETERMINATION OF B.E.T MONOLAYER	
VALUE	52
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	
<b>4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>4.1 PROXIMATE COMPOSITION OF THE DEVELOPED</b>	
<b>BLENDS</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>4.2 SENSORY EVALUATION OF THE SAMPLES</b>	<b>56</b>
4.2.1 TASTE	57
4.2.2 COLOUR	57
4.2.3 FLAVOUR	58
4.2.4 OVERALL ACCEPTABILITY	58
<b>4.3 MOISTURE SORPTION ISOTHERM STUDIES</b>	<b>59</b>
4.3.1 MOISTURE SORPTION DATA	59
4.3.1.1 Moisture sorption data at 30 <sup>0</sup> C	59
4.3.1.2 Moisture sorption data at 40 <sup>0</sup> C	60
4.3.2 B.E.T MONOLAYER VALUES	60
4.3.2.1 B.E.T Monolayer value at 30 <sup>0</sup> C	60
4.3.2.2 B.E.T Monolayer value at 40 <sup>0</sup> C	64
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	
<b>5.0 CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>SUGGESTIONS</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>LITERATURE CITED</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>	