

**PERFORMANCE OF EARLY MATURING  
GROUNDNUT (*Arachis hypogaea* L) GENOTYPES  
ON REGOSOLS**

PERMANENT REFERENCE

BY

**KANANATHAPILLAI THIRUTHANIGASALAM**

A RESEARCH REPORT

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE ADVANCED COURSE

IN

**AGRICULTURAL BIOLOGY**

FOR

THE DEGREE OF BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN AGRICULTURE  
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE  
EASTERN UNIVERSITY SRI LANKA  
CHENKALADY.



FAG135



Project Report  
Library - EUSL

1996

APPROVED BY

V. Arulnandhy  
SUPERVISOR

Dr. V. Arulnandhy

Senior Lecturer and Plant breeder

Division of Agricultural Biology

Faculty of Agriculture

Eastern University, Sri Lanka

Chenkalady.

26638

T. Mahendran  
HEAD/AGRONOMY

Dr (Mrs) T. Mahendran

Faculty of Agriculture

Eastern University, Sri Lanka

Chenkalady.

Date: 30.10.96

Date: 31.10.96

PROCESSED  
Main Library, EUSL

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out at the Agricultural farm of the Eastern University, Chenkalady to evaluate the morpho-agronomic characteristics, in order to identify the most suitable short duration (ICRISAT) groundnut genotype / genotypes to be grown on regosols under the conditions prevailing in the Batticaloa District.

Fifteen ICRISAT groundnut genotypes were evaluated along with the check variety MI-1. All the varieties were planted in a Randomized Complete Block Design, with three replicates and were managed under the recommended cultural practices.

After the emergence of seedlings data collections were commenced. The measurements and observations were made on days to 1<sup>st</sup>, 50 and 75 percent emergence; days to 1<sup>st</sup>, 50 and 100 percent flowering; leaf area, biomass production, disease score on rust and late leaf spots; number of effective nodules per plant; number of pegs and pods per plant; pod weight; pod yield; shelling percentage; 100 seed weight; percentage of sound mature seeds; and seed appearance and uniformity. The data were subjected to ANOVA and correlation analysis was also performed.

The genotypes tested in the study showed significant differences in growth parameters such as days to emergence; days to flowering; leaf area; biomass production; and also in number of effective nodules per plant; and yield components such as number of pegs and pods per plant; pod weight, yield; shelling percentage; 100 seed weight; and percentage of sound mature seeds. The pod yield of the genotypes also varied significantly. The significance of all these parameters among the genotypes were tested at the 5% level.

Correlation study revealed that most of the characters studied were positively correlated. Pod yield significantly and positively correlated with leaf area; biomass production; number of effective nodules; number of pegs and pods per plant; pod weight; shelling percentage and 100 seed weight at the 5% level.

Leaf area; biomass production; and number of effective nodules were positively correlated with pod yield, pod weight and 100 seed weight. However, pod yield was found to be negatively correlated with 100 per cent flowering.

Wider variation does exist in several traits of agronomic importance and therefore selection may be positively approached for the desired valuable characters. Importance should be given to yield and adaptability. Considering the results as a whole, the genotypes ICGV 91114 and 91117 promise in many of the agronomic traits including nodulation, yield, seed quality and response to the diseases of economic importance such as rust and late leaf spots and were found to be significantly superior to check variety MI -1 as far as the characters are concerned. Hence, it appears that genotypes ICGV 91114 and 91117 are the most suitable genotypes as identified from this investigation and could be grown successfully on regosols under conditions prevailed in Batticaloa district during Yala season. Further studies are in demand to conclude the production potential and adaptability of these two elite genotypes under the local condition.



# CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	III
CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General description of groundnut	1
1.2 Origin and distribution	1
1.3 Production of groundnut	2
1.4 Importance of groundnut	3
1.4.1 Nutritional importance	3
1.4.2 Economic importance	3
1.5 Uses of groundnut	4
1.6 Chemical composition of groundnut	5
1.7 Constraints in groundnut production	5
1.8 Varietal improvement	6
1.9 Objectives of the study	7
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE	8
2.1 Botany of groundnut	8
2.1.1 (a) Virginia varieties	8
2.1.1 (b) Spanish - valencia varieties	8
2.1.2 Leaves	9
2.1.3 Stem	9
2.1.4 Root	9
2.1.5 Flowers	9
2.1.6 Pegs	9
2.1.7 Fruit and seed	10
2.2 Vernalisation and photoperiodism	10
2.3 Seed dormancy	12
2.3.1 Need for seed dormancy	12
2.3.2 Causes for seed dormancy	12
2.3.3 Breaking of seed dormancy	13
2.4 Earliness and wider adaptation	13
2.5 Importance of planting time	14
2.6 Seed rate and spacing with cultivars	15
2.7 Biotic and Abiotic stresses of groundnut	16
2.7.1 Biotic stresses	17
2.7.1.1 Early and late leaf spots	17
2.7.1.2 Rust	17
2.7.1.3 Crop losses due to biotic losses	17
2.7.1.4 Resistant cultivars	17

2.7.2	Abiotic stresses	18
2.8	International Crop Research Institute For The Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISPT) And its Function	19
2.9	Germplasm collection in groundnut	20
2.9.1	Importance of groundnut germplasm collection	20
2.9.2	Groundnut germplasm distribution	20
2.9.3	History of germplasm collection	21
2.9.4	Germplasm collected in the Americas	21
2.9.5	Germplasm collected in ICRISAT India	21
2.9.6	Germplasm collected in Australia	22
2.10	New genetic and breeding strategy in groundnut	22
2.10.1	Breeding objectives	22
2.10.2	Utilization of wild species	22
2.10.3	Tissue culture approach in groundnut	24
2.11	Groundnut cultivars developed in Sri Lanka	24

### CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS 26

3.1	Location	26
3.2	Climate	26
3.3	Characteristic of the soil	26
3.4	Previous crop	27
3.5	Treatments	27
3.6	Varieties of groundnut used	27
3.7	Statistical design and Field lay-out	29
3.7.1	Statistical design	29
3.7.2	Plot size	29
3.7.3	Crop spacing	29
3.7.4	Guard rows	29
3.7.5	Effective rows	29
3.8	Agronomic practices	32
3.8.1	Land preparation	32
3.8.2	Manure and fertilizer application	32
3.8.2.1	Manure application	32
3.8.2.2	Fertilizer application	32
3.8.2.2.1	Basal fertilizer	32
3.8.3	Planting	33
3.8.4	Gap filling	33
3.8.5	Watering	33
3.8.6	Weed control	33
3.8.7	Pest and disease control	33
3.8.7.1	Pest control	33
3.8.7.2	Disease control	34
3.8.8	Earthing up	34
3.9	Measurement and observations	34
3.9.1	Days to emergence	34
3.9.2	Days to flowering	34
3.9.3	Final plant stand	35
3.9.4	Disease score	35
3.9.4.1	Rust Disease	35
3.9.4.2	Late leaf spots	35

3.10	Growth and other related measurements	35
3.10.1	Weight of the plant parts	36
3.10.2	Leaf area	36
3.10.3	Number of effective nodules per plant	36
3.10.4	Number of pegs per plant	36
3.10.5	Number of pods per plant	37
3.11	Pod yield	37
3.12	Estimation of quality characters	37
3.12.1	Shelling percentage	37
3.12.2	Hundred seed weight	38
3.12.3	Percentage of sound mature seed	38
3.12.4	Seed appearance and uniformity	38
3.13	Days to maturity	38
3.14	Statistical analysis	38

## CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 39

4.1	Soil analysis	39
4.2	Days to first, 50 and 75 per cent emergence	40
4.3	Days to first, 50 and 100 per cent flowering	41
4.4	Leaf area	43
4.5	Biomass production	45
4.6	Disease score	47
4.7	Number of effective nodules per plant	49
4.8	Number of pegs and pods per plant	51
4.9	Pod weight	53
4.10	Pod yield	54
4.11	Shelling percentage	57
4.12	Hundred seed weight	59
4.13	Percentage of sound mature seed	61
4.14	Seed appearance and uniformity	62
4.15	Correlation analysis	63

## CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION 66

## REFERENCE 69

## • APPENDIX