PERMANENT REFERENCE

REPRODUCTIVE PERFORMANCE OF JAMNAPARI

IN

THE INTERMEDIATE ZONE OF SRI LANKA

By

KOHILA THILAGARATNAM

A Research Report Submitted In Partial Fulfilment of the Advanced Course

In

Animal Science

For the award of the degree of Bachelor of Science in Agriculture



636.391 072

FAG147 Project Report Library - EUSL Eastern University Sri Lanka

December 2002 49641

Approved By

S. J. Vallun Dr (Miss) J. Sinniah, Supervisor, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Eastern University, Sri Lanka.

Date 31/12/02

S. J. Vetturi

Dr (Miss) J. Sinniah Head/ Animal Science, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Eastern University, Sri Lanka.

Date. 31/12/03.

PROCESSED Main Library, EUSL

Abstract

This study compares the genetic and non- genetic factors affecting the reproductive performance of Jamnapari goats and compares the reproductive performance of Jamnapari at Imbulanthanda and Nikawaretiya farms. The reproductive performance of Jamnapari kept at Imbulanthanda and Nikawaretiya farms over a period of six years (1997- 2002) were studied. The data for this study was obtained from the history sheets of 79 and 186 does at Imbulanthanda and Nikawaretiya farms, respectively.

Traits studied were birth weight (BW), age at first kidding (AFK), kidding interval (KI) and litter size (LS). The over all least square means for the above traits were 2.957 ± 0.446 kg, 21.569 ± 4.172 months, 9.975 ± 2.44 months, 1.167 ± 0.357 for BW, AFK, KI and LS respectively.

Å.,

Birth weight was significantly influenced (P < 0.01) by farm, month of kidding, year of kidding, sex of kid, type of birth and parity. When data were analyzed separately for both farms a significant impact of month of kidding was observed only at Imbulanthanda farm

Farm difference, month of kidding, year of kidding and year of birth of doe were significantly (P < 0.01) affected age at first kidding.

Kidding interval was significantly (P<0.01) influenced by month of kidding, year of kidding and parity. Whereas the influence of sex of kid was not significant.

Parity and year of kidding were significantly (P < 0.01) influenced the litter size. While the effect of year of birth of doe on litter size was not significant.

The results from the present study was compared with results obtained elsewhere in the tropics and the report discussed the future breeding and management plans to improve the reproductive performance of Jamnapari goats at Imbulanthanda and Nikawaretiya farm.

services for his support of any opping souther

the my densit promotetient to St. B. L. S. Balancer a

and advice gives to me in that study to a surrought

CONTENTS

Page No.

Abstract	23
Acknowledgement	- 23
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of contents	v
List of figures	ix
List of tables	x
List of plates	xii
A manufacture and monodity	
CHAPTER 01: INTRODUCTION	01
1.1 Objectives	09
/	
CHAPTER 02: REVIEW OF LITERATURE	10
2.1 Goat development in Sri Lanka	10
2.2 Breeding activity of goat development programme	11
2.2.1 Assistance received through the German Goat	
Development project and Activities under taken for	
goat development during phase I and II	12
2.2.2 Assistance received and activities performed during	
phase III of the Project (1988 – 1990)	13
2.2.3 Sri Lanka Boer lease stud scheme	14
2.3 Reproduction in goats	17
2.4 Important goat breeds	22

v

2.4.1 Boer	42
2.4.2 Jamnapari	22
	23
2.5 Some reproductive traits of goats	25
2.5.1 Birth weight	25
2.5.2 Weaning weight	26
2.5.3 Litter size	27
2.5.4 Kidding interval	29
2.5.5 Age at first kidding	30
2.5.6 Abnormal kidding and mortality	32
2.5.7 Production per kidding interval score	32
2.6 Some production traits of goat	33
2.6.1 Lactation length, lactation yield and composition of m	ilk 33
2.6.2 Dry period	25
2.6.3 Growth rate	25
2.7 Some problematic areas in goat reproduction and in goat	35 59
production	37
HAPTER 03: MATERIALS AND METHODS	40
3.1 Study sites	40
3.1.1 Soil	41
3.1.2 Climate	41
3.1.3 Herd composition	42
3.2 General aspects of pasture, fodder, tree legumes and	N: 77797

(

other trees at Imbulanthanda and Nikawaretiya farms	42
3.2.1.1 Feeding of kids at Nikawaretiya farm	43
3.2.1.2 Feeding of kids at Imbulanthanda farm	43
3.2.1.3 Feeding management of pregnant doe and bucks	44
3.2.2 Other management practices	44
3.2.3 Systems of housing	44
3.2.4 Health care package for Jamnapari goats	46
3.2.5 Breeding activity	48
3.3 Data collection and analysis.	48
•	
CHAPTER 04: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	52
4.1 Results	52
4.1.1 Birth weight	53
4.1.1.1 Birth weight and month of kidding	55
4.1.1.2 Rainfall pattern and birth weight	56
4.1.2 Age at first kidding	59
4.1.3 Kidding interval	61
4.1.4 Litter size	63
4.1.5 Birth and mortality pattern of Jamnapari with rainfall	*12
Pattern at Imbulanthanda and Nikawaretiya	65
4.2 Discussion	67
4.2.1 Birth weight	67
4.2.2 Age at first kidding	68
4.2.3 Kidding interval	69

List of ligures		
4.2.4 Litter size		70
4.2.5 Birthrate and mortality		71
Fig-4.1 Variation in least square mean birth weight during, different months of the year		
CHAPTER 05: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS		72
5.1 Conclusion		72
5.2 Suggestions		73
REFERENCES		75
APPENDIX	×.	84
in a surte mu Monauly pattern of Jerhnapen at the surte of the surte o		
1 et		

٩

۶.

...