SUITABILITY OF MOSTLY CONSUMED FISHES IN KOMMATHURAL AREA FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF FISH CRACKER



BY

ANUJA THAMBIRAJAH





218

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
EASTERN UNIVERSITY
SRI LANKA
2006

ABSTRACT

Fishes are major protein supplement and they play an important role in the meal planning of people living in Kommathurai area of the Batticaloa district. The study was carried out to prepare a fish cracker from the mostly consumed fishes in Kommathurai area. The mostly consumed fishes in Kommathurai area were selected by survey method using well structured questionnaires. The result of the survey indicated that most of the people (95%) were non vegetarian in kommaturai area. 70% of the people ate sea fishes, lagoon fishes and pond fishes. Most of the consumers (65%) bought fish from the market. Among the preference for fish product, 55.28% of the people preferred normal fish curry and 5% of the people preferred fish cracker.50% of the people were adding fish as their food for taste purpose. The survey revealed that three mostly consumed sea, lagoon and pond fishes were Seela (Sphyraena jello), Thilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus) and Viral, (Rachycentron canadum).

Fish crackers prepared from the Seela, Thilapia and Viral were fried in vegetable oil. They were subjected to chemical and organoleptic evaluation. Fresh fishes were also chemically analyzed. Significant differences in chemical and organoleptic qualities were found among fish crackers. Crude protein content seemed to be highest value 12.28% in fish cracker of Thilapia, moderately high value 11.30% was present in fish cracker of Viral and lowest value 10.61% was present in fish cracker of Seela. The crude protein percentage of fish cracker ranged from 12.28% to 10.61% in fish cracker of Thilapia and fish cracker of Seela respectively. Crude fat seemed to be highest in fish cracker of Thilapia than others while fish cracker of Seela contained low crude fat percentage. The fish cracker of Seela exhibited highest pH value 6.74

while fish cracker of Thilapia exhibited lowest pH value 6.575. According to the organoleptic evaluation of fresh fish crackers results, fish cracker of Thilapia was considered superior to others while fish cracker of Viral received score next to it. Fish cracker of Seela gave lowest acceptance by panelist.

The fish crackers made from the Seela, Viral and Thilapia fishes were stored for one month in polyethelene packets. Chemical, organoleptic and microbial evaluation were conducted at weekly intervals for one month. Crude protein content of fish crackers was gradually decreased during storage periods, like wise crude fat was also decreased during storage periods but moisture content of the fish crackers was increased during the storage periods. The fish cracker of Viral was considered to be superior to other fish crackers by organoleptic test at 28 days of storage period due to highest overall eating quality next to fish cracker of Thilapia. During storage period, no any contaminations was found in fish crackers made from Viral and Thilapia. But a small amount of colonies of Fusarium spp was found in fish crackers made from Seela at 28th days of storage period. Therefore fish crackers of Viral, Thilapia were good up to one month storage but fish cracker of Seela could be stored only for 3weeks.

CONTENTS	Page no
ABSTRACT	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	III
CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	XI
LIST OF PLATES	XII
CHAPTER- 1	1
1. INTRODUTION	1
1.1 Specific objectives of the study	1
CHAPTER- 2	5
2. LITTERATURE REVIEW	5 ·
2.1. Fish	5
2.1.1. Origin and distribution	5
2,1.1.1. Thilapia	5
2.1.1.2. Jeela	6
2.1:1.3. Viral	6
2.1.2. Fish Production	6
2.1.3. Contribution of Fisheries Sector to Gross Domestic Product (Contribution of Fisheries Sector to Gross Domestic Product	GDP) 7
2.1.4. Uses of fish	8
2.1.5. Proximate composition of fish flesh	9
2.1.5.1. Water	10
2.1.5.2. Protein	10
2.1.5.3. Carbohydrates	11
2.1.5.4. Lipids	11
2.1.5.5. Ash	12
2.1.5.6. vitamins	13
2.1.6. Classification	16

2.1.6.1. Thilapia	16
2.1.6.2. Jeela	16
2.1.6.3. Viral	17
2.1.7. Morphology and biology	17
2.1.7.1. Thilapia	17
2.1.7.2. Jeela	18
2.1.7.3. Viral	18
2.2. Fish processing and important of fish processing	19
2.3. Bio chemical changes during processing and storage of fish	20
2.4. production of value added fish products	20
2.4.1. Mince-based products	20
2.4.1.1. Fish wafers.	21
2.4.1.2. Fish finger	21
2.4.1.3. Fish Burger	22
2.4.1.4. Salted Fish Cake	22
2.4.1.5. Suirimi	22
2.4.1.6. Surimi-based Products	23
2.4.1.6.1. Fibreized Product	23
2.4.1.6.2. Kneaded Products	23
2.4.1.6.3. Kamaboko	23
2.4.1.6.4. Chikuwa	24
2.4.1.6.5. Hampen	24
2.4.1.6.6. Fish ham	24
2.4.1.6.7. Fish sausage	25
2.5. Sensory Evaluation	25
2.5.1. Definition	26
2.5.2. Uses of sensory analysis	27
2.5.3. Hedonic scale	28
2.5.4. Problems associated with sensory analysis	29
2.5.5. The following rules should be essentially followed during sensory	
evaluation	30
2.5.6. Factors influencing sensory measurement	32
2.5.6.1. Stimulus error:	32
2.5.6.2. Expectation error:	32

2.5.6.3. Enhancement:	33
2.5.6.4. Mutual suggestion:	33
2.5.6.5. Error of habituation:	33
2.5.6.6. Capriciousness vs. timidity:	33
2.5.6.7. Presentation:	34
2.5.6.8. Halo effect	34
2.5.7. Preparation of sample for the test	35
2.5.7.1. Testing area	35
2.5.7.2. Testing setup	35
2.5.7.3. Lighting	36
2.5.7.4. Testing time	36
CHAPTER-3	27
CHAITEK-3	37
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS	37
3.1.Survey study	37
3.1.1.Study area	37
3.1.2.Questionnaire preparation and data collection	n. 37
3.1.3.Coding and data analysis	37
3.2.Laboratory study	39
3.2.1.Location and period of study:	39
3.2.2.Selection of sample.	39
3.2.3.Experimental design	39
3.2.3.1.Experiment 1 – Study on fish cracker	production 39
3.2.3.1.1. Preparation of fish cracker	39
3.2.3.1.1.1.Ingredients	39
3.2.3.1.1.2.Method	40
3.2.3.1.2.Organoleptic evaluation of	fresh fish cracker 42
3.2.3.1.3. Chemical analysis of fish a	nd fresh fish cracker 42
3.2.3.2.Experiment 2 – Storage study of fish	cracker 42
3.2.3.2.1.Storage of fish cracker	42
3.2.3.2.2.Organoleptic evaluation of	stored fish cracker 43
3.2.3.2.3.Chemical analysis of stored	d fish cracker 43

3.2.3.2.4.Microbial evaluation of fish cracker	43
3.2.4.Organoleptic evaluation of fresh and stored fish cracker	43
3.2.4.1.Sensory evaluation	44
3.2.4.1.1.Coding the sample	44
3.2.4.1.2.Evaluation of sample	44
3.2.5.Chemical analysis of fish and fish cracker	45
3.2.5.1.Moisture content	45
3.2.5.1.1.Materials:-	45
3.2.5.1.2.Method	45
3.2.5.1.3.Calculation	46
3.2.5.2.PH	46
3.2.5.2.1.Materials	46
3.2.5.2.2.Method:-	46
3.2.5.3.Ash content	46
3.2.5.3.1.Materials	46
3.2.5.3.2.Method:-	47
3.2.5.3.3. Calculations:-	47
3.2.5.4.Crude fat	47
3.2.5.4.1.Materials	47
3.2.5.4.2.Method	47
3.2.5.4.3.Calculation	48
3.2.5.5.Protein content	48
3.2.5.5.1.Materials	48
3.2.5.5.2.Method	49
3.2.5.5.2.1.Digestion	49
3,2.5.5.2.2.Distillation	49
3.2.5.5.2.3.Titration	49
3.2.5.5.3. Calculation	50
3.2.6.Microbial evaluation of fish cracker	50
3.2.6.1.Preparation of Potato Dextrose Agar medium(PDA)	50
3.2.6.1.1.Materials	50
3.2.6.1.2.Method	51
3.2.6.2. Sterilization of glasswares and needles	51
3.2.6.3 Inoculation of sample	52

3.2.6.4.Identification of pathogen		52
3.2.7.Data Analysis		52
5.2.1.2.44		
CHAPTER 4		53
1. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		53
4.1 Survey study- Fish consumption pattern in Kommathurai area,		
Eravur pattu D.S division		53
4.1.1.Food habit of the people		53
4.1.2.Fish consumption pattern		53
4.1.3.Consumer preference of fish type		54
4.1.4.Mostly preferred sea fish species		54
4.1.5.Mostly preferred lagoon fish species		55
4.1.6.Mostly preferred pond fish species		56
4.1.7.Fish available pattern		56
4.1.8.Access of fish purchase		57
4.1.9. Household income and fish purchasing power		57,
4.1.10. Consumers preference for fish products		58
4.1.11. Consumer's basic concept for fish selection		59
4.1.12. Mostly consumed fishes		59
4.2 Laboratory study	1	61
4.2.1.Experiment 1 – Study on fish cracker development		61
4.2.1.1.Chemical analysis		61
.4.2.1.1.1.Chemical analysis of fresh fish		61
4.2.1.1.2. Chemical analysis of fish cracker		62
4.2.1.1.2.1.pH	€ #	62
4.2.1.1.2.2.Crude protein	22.0	63
4.2.1.1.2.3.Crude fat		63
4.2.1.1.2.4.Moisture		64
4.2.1.1.2.5.Ash		65
4.2.1.2.Organoleptic evalution of fresh fish cracker	9)	65
4.2.1.2.1.Colour		66
4.2.1.2.2.Crispiness		66