POST HARVEST HANDLING OF FISH IN NAAVALADY AREA



By

PERUMAL UTHAYACHANDRAN







١.

PROCESSED

Main Library, EUSI.

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

EASTERN UNIVERSITY

SRI LANKA

2007

I

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted in Naavalady area to identify the postharvest handling of fish. The popular post harvest handling methods were selected by survey method using well structured questionnaires. The results showed that sea fishermen were (90%) full time fishermen and most of them have own fishing boats (70%). In sea Thalapathu, Thirukai, Suudi, Paarai, and Velal were frequently being caught; there are some seasonal species of fish like Kelavaala, and Suraa were caught after June. 70% of the people consumed fish as fish curry, 30% of people consumed fish as value added products such as tin fish, dried fish, and fish pickle.

Another study was carried out to find out the most suitable fish for colombo-curing method. In this experiment, three popular fish species were selected through well structured questionnaire, from this; most suitable fish was selected by chemical evaluation, microbial evaluation and organoleptic evaluation. From these evaluations tuna fish was the most suitable fish for colombo-curing method. Crude 1. Sprotein content seemed to be highest 12.4% in colombo cured tuna fish, moderately high value 11.3% in colombo cured bream fish, and lowest value 10.7% in colombo cured sardinella fish. Crude fat seemed to be highest in colombo cured of sadinella than other colombo cured fishes. Colombo cured tuna fish showed highest pH value 4.71, while colombo cured bream fish showed lower pH value 4.1. According to the organoleptic evaluation of colombo cured fishes, tuna was superior to others. These cured fishes were stored for three months in clay pots and chemical, orgenoleptic and microbial evaluation were carried in every week at regular intervals.

During this storage periods crude protein was gradually decreased and like wise crude fat also decreased, but moisture content of colombo cured fishes were gradually increased. During storage periods, up to 20th day there was no any microbial contamination found in colombo cured fish, but there was a small microbial contamination in cured fish of sadinella at 30th day, but there was a microbial contamination in colombo cured fish of bream at 40th day, but up to 70th day there was no any microbial contamination found in colombo cured fish of solution found in colombo cured tuna. So colombo cured fish of tuna is good up to 10 weeks, while colombo cured fish of *Sadinalla* is good up to 5 weeks.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No

ABSTRACT	IV
Acknowledgements	VI
Table of Contents	VIII
List of Tables	XIV
List of Figures	XV
List of Plates	XVI
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Fish	4
2.1.1 Origin and distribution	4
2.1.1.1 Tuna	4
2.1.1.2 Sardinella	5
2.1.1.3 Bream	5
2.1.2 Fish production	5
2.1.3 Contribution of fisheries Sector to Gross Domestic Product	6
2.1.4 Uses of fish	7
2.1.5 Proximate composition of fish flesh	7
2.1.5.1 Water	8
2.1.5.2 Protein	8
2.1.5.3 Carbohydrates	9
2.1.5.4 Lipids	9
2.1.5.5 Ash	9

2.1.5.6 Vitamins	11
2.1.6 Classification	13
2.1.6.1 Sadinella	13
2.1.6.2 Tuna	13
2.1.6.3 Bream	14
2.1.7 Morphology and Biology of fish	14
2.1.7.1 Tuna	14
2.1.7.2 Sardinella	16
2.1.7.3 Bream	16
2.2 Fish processing and its important	17
2.3 Bio chemical changes during processing and storage of fish	17
2.4 Production of value added fish products	18
2.4.1 Fish wafers	18
2.4.2 Fish finger	18
2.4.3 Surimi	19
2.4.4 Surimi based products	19
2.5 Sensory evaluation	19
2.5.1 Problems associated with sensory analysis	20
2.5.2 The following result should be essentially followed	
during sensory evaluation	20
2.5.3 Factors influencing sensory measurement	21
2.5.4 Preparation of sample for the evaluation	21
2.5.4.1 Testing area	22
2.5.4.2 Testing set up	22
2.5.4.3 Lighting	22

2.5.4.4 Testing time	23
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	24
3.1 Survey study	24
3.1.1 Study area	24
3.1.2 Questionnaire preparation and data collection	26
3.1.3 Data analysis	26
3.2 Laboratory study	26
3.2.1 Location and period of study	26
3.2.2 Limitation of the study	26
3.2.3 Sample selection	26
3.3 Experimental design	26
3.4 Experiment 1 Study on the colombo cured fish	27
3.4.1 Preparation of colombo cured fish	27
3.4.1.1 Ingredients	27
3.4.1.2 Method	27
3.4.2 Chemical analysis of fish	28
3.4.2.1 Moisture content	28
3.4.2.1.1 Materials	28
3.4.2.1.2 Method	28
3.4.2.1.3 Readings	29
3.4.2.1.4 Calculation	29
3.4.2.2 pH	29
3.4.2.2.1 Materials	29
3.4.2.2.2 Method	29
3.4.2.3. Ash content	30

3.4.2.3.1 Materials	30
3.4.2.3.2 Method	30
3.4.2.3.3 Calculations	30
3.4.2.4 Crude Fat	30
3.4.2.4.1 Materials	30
3.4.2.4.2 Method	31
3.4.2.4.3 Calculation	31
3.4.2.5 Protein content	31
3.4.2.5.1 Materials	31
3.4.2.5.2 Method	32
3.4.2.5.2.1 Digestion	32
3.4.2.5.2.2 Distillation	32
3.4.2.5.2.3 Titration	32
3.4.2.5.3 Calculation	32
5 Experiment 2 Storage study of colombo cured fish	33
3.5.1 Storage of colombo cured fish	33
3.5.2 Organoleptic evaluation of stored colombo cured fish	33
3.5.3 Chemical analysis of stored cured fish	33
6 Microbial evaluation of stored colombo cured fish	34
3.6.1 Preparation of Potato Dextrose Agar medium (PDA)	34
3.6.1.1 Materials	34
3.6.1.2 Method	34
3.6.1.3 Sterilization of glass wares and needles	35
3.6.1.4 Inoculation of sample	35
3.6.1.5 Identification of pathogen	35

3.7 Data Analysis	36
PESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1 Survey study- Fish consumption pattern in Naavalady area	37
4.1.1 Mostly consumed fishes in Naavalady area	39
4.2 Laboratory study	41
4.2.1 Experiment 01- study of colombo cured fish	41
4.2.1.1 Chemical analysis	41
4.2.1.1.1 Chemical analysis of fresh fish	41
4.2.1.1.2 Chemical analysis of colombo	
cured fish	41
4.2.2 Organoleptic evaluation of colombo cured fish	44
4.2.2.1 Color of cured fish	45
4.2.2.2 Taste of the colombo cured fish	45
4.2.2.3 Flavor	45
4.2.2.4 Eating quality	45
4.2.3 Experiment 2 Storage study of colombo	
cured fish	46
4.2.3.1 Chemical analysis of stored Colombo	
cured fish	46
4.2.3.2 Organoleptic evaluation of colombo	
cured fish	49
4.2.3.2.1 Color	49
4.2.3.2.2 Taste	49
4.2.3.2.3 Flavor	50
4.2.3.2.4 Eating quality	50