THE STUDY OF PHYSICAL EVIDENCE AND MARKETING PERFORMANCE IN CARGILL'S FOOD CITY EASTERN PROVINCE SRILANKA



1379

BALACHANDRAN KAVASKAR



DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT FACULTY OF COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT EASTERN UNIVERSITY SRI LANKA 2014.

ABSTRACT

Many organizations cannot be officeed without the support of physical evidence. Though customers cannot see the services, they can definitely see the tangibles associated, examine them and try to form an opinion on the service provider. The physical evidence is the environment in which the service is delivered and where the firm and customer interact, and any tangible commodities that facilitate performance or communication of the service. The physical evidence is most important think try to achieve the organizational marketing performance. Performance is behavior evaluated in terms of its contributions to the goals and objectives of the organization. Marketing performance is a measure of contributions of an organization's marketing functions to its corporate goals and objectives.

Cargill's food cities usually very large organization the knowledgeable consumers want to go prefer there because consumer often benefits from increased competition in differentiated during shopping activity. They are spending more on time, in the food city. The services provided are seeking way to make shopping more of a pursuit. So the physical evidence is very important think to Cargill's food city rather than other competitor organization.

The main purpose off this study was to determine the extent to which physical evidence affects marketing performance of Cangill's flood city in eastern province Sri Lanka. It was found that physical evidence dimensions – ambience, personnel competence, service systems design. Facility interior and facility exterior affect marketing performance measures – Marketing efficiency and effectiveness of this food city. This study was collected 100 questionnaires from five Cangill's flood city branches in eastern province Sri Lanka. Data were analyzed and evaluated by Univariate and Bivariate techniques

The study found that this independent variable dimensions indicate high livel (mean Value is 4,0656) in physical evidence. Particularly, service system design (4,205) highly influence in consumer physical evidence. Other side, dependent variable dimensions indicate high level (mean Value is 4,41175) in marketing performance. Particularly, marketing efficiency (4,121) highly influence in marketing performance. Overall view the consumer characteristics weakly positively influence (0,310) the marketing performance of Cargill's food city.

Keywords: physical evidence and marketing performance.

LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page No
Acknowledgement	ũ
Albertrach	III
Table of Contents	iii
List of Talbles	vi
List of Figures	vii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	11-6
1.1Elackground of the Situdy	1
1.2Problem Statement	I
1.2Research question	2
1.3Research Objective	3
1.4Significance of the study	3
1.5 Scope of title study	4
1.6Limitation	4
1.7 Outline Of the Study	5
19 Summary	. 6
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	7-23
2.Himreduction	- 7
22Definition of physical evidence	17
23hmportance of physical evidence	# * 10
2.4Variable associated with Physical evidence	\$ 11
2.5 Definition of Marketing performance	* - 14
2.6 Variable associated with Marketing performance	17
2.7 Important of marketing performance	20
2.8Summary	23

Cill	WIT	9/T	TEXT	ED)	CHIP.	п	Ð	E7:	W	-
A SETTING	ΑI	50.0	JЮ	ED.	- 4	EŁ	EΝ	80.	180	146

CONCEPTUALIZATION AND OPERATIONALIZATION	24-31
3.1 Introduction	24
3.2 Conceptualization	24
3.3Conceptual firame work	25
3.3 Definition of variables	25
3.4 Operationalization	29
3.5 Summary	31
CHAPTER FOUR: METHODOLOGY	32-38
4.1 Introduction	32
4.2 Research Design	33
4.3 Research sampling	33
4.4 Primary of Data Collection	34
4.5 Deta analysis and evaluation	35
4.6 Suramany	3.8
CHAPTER FIVE: DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS	39-55
5.11 Improduction	39
5.2 Overview of the survey	39
5.3 Demographic Data	40
5.4Identify the level of physical evidence	46
5.50verall physical evidence dimension	47
55 Relationship between physical evidence and marketing performance	49
5.6Lexel of Job Marketing performance	- 52 _F
5.7 Owerall analysis	53
5.8 Summary	55

CHAPTER SIX: DISCUSSION	56-61	
6. U Introduction		56
6.2Discussion on Research Information		58
6.3 Summary		61
CHAPTER SEVEN: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	62-69	
7.1 Introduction		62
7.2 Conclusion		6.3
7.3 Conclusion of variables		64
7.4Recommendation		6.5
7.5Limitation of the study		68
7.6 Implication		68
7.7 Summary		69
Appendices		70)
Références		74
Appendix.01: Questionnaire(English)	- 3 4	47
Table 5.11Physical evidence dimension		
Table 5.12 level of physical evidence	1	46
Table 5.13 contellation for variable		49
Table 5.14Correlation with indicators		150
Table 5.115 Correlation between dimension	-	51
Table 5.16Marketing performance dimension -	100	52