EFFECTIVENESS AND FEASIBILITY STUDY OF INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT (IPM) IN BUSHITAO (Vigna ungingulata) CULTIVATION

BY

SHIFANA FIRNAS



DEPARTMENT OF AGRIC BIOLOGY FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE EASTERN UNIVERSITY SRI LANKA

2010

ABSTRACT

Vegetables form very important part of agriculture, however a major constrain in their production faced by farmers is the frequent heavy infestation by several kinds of insect pests. Although the increased reliance on synthetic pesticides in vegetable cultivation has improved their production and apparent physical quality, yet their excessive and indiscriminate use has often posed the problem of health damaging residues in several countries. Therefore many researchers proposed Integrated Pest Management (IPM) as the best solution to minimize the problems faced by farmers for vegetable cultivation.

This experiment was conducted to evaluate effective and economically and environmentally feasible of integrated pest management for bushitao cultivation and compare it with the chemical and botanical pesticides in terms of yield, populations of insect pests and natural enemies, toxicity in marketable products, crop losses and cost of production. Chemical pesticide, botanical pesticide, IPM and control were used as the treatments with five replicates. Treatments and replicates were arranged in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). Carbofuran and Admire used as chemical insecticide and applied one week after germination and when the insect populations at Economic Threshold Level respectively. Neem leaves solution and mixture of herbal leaves solution such as Neem, Adathodai, Lantana, Leucas, Errukku were used as botanical pesticide and applied weekly interval since one week after germination. Combinations of all pest control methods other than chemical which included cultural and biological control, weekly alternate application of botanicals and locally available pesticides such as ash solution and cow urine solution were used for Integrated Pest Management.

Overall results revealed that insect pest populations especially Mexican been beetle and aphid significantly (P<0.05) reduced in IPM than the other pest control methods. Chemical and botanical pesticides initially reduced the pest population. However they failed to control these pests continuously.

Population of natural enemies (Lady bird beetle and Dragon flies) was significantly (P<0.05) high in IPM than other plots. Because of IPM has no any adverse effect on natural enemies. But chemicals and continues application of botanicals affected these populations due to its toxicity. Therefore Population of natural enemies reduced in chemical and botanical plots.

Due to the lowest populations of insect pests in IPM plot, crop losses were also low and therefore yield significantly (P<0.05) increased in IPM plot than other plots. At last, income and profit of bushitao cultivation high in Integrated Pest Management plot than plots due to low cost of production and high productivity.

Integrated Pest Management is the hope for all concern with the least pesticides residues in food stuff. The objective of IPM is to minimize pesticide residues in food by limiting the use of synthetic insecticides. Therefore food toxicity also significantly (P<0.05) low in harvested bushitao pods by IPM. Therefore IPM is best solution for problems faced by farmers and consumers.

CONTENTS

	:
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF FIGURES	Х
CHAPTER 1	
Introduction	1
1.1 Objective of study	6
CHAPTER 2	
Literature review	7
2.1 Bushitao	7
2.1.1 Economic importance and uses	7
2.1.2 Climate and soil	7
2.1.3 Areas of cultivation	8
2.1.4 Varieties cultivated in Sri Lanka	
2.1.5 Special characteristics of Variety BS-1	
2.1.6 Major Pests in bushitao	
2.1.7 Harvesting	9
2.2 Aphids (Aphis craccivovora)	10
2.2.1 Classification	10
2.2.2 Morphology of Aphids	10
2.2.3 Life cycle	11
2 2 4 Damage patterns	11
2.2.5 Control of Aphids	11
2.2.5.1 Monitoring	11
2.2.5.2 Cultural Control	
2.2.5.3 Chemical Control	
2.2.5.4 Biological control	
2.2.6 Natural enemies	

in the state of th	14
2.3 Mexican Bean Beetle (Epilachna varivestis Mulsant)	14
2.3.1 Taxonomy	14
2.3.2 Host plants of Maxican bean beetle	1/1
2.3.3 Life cycle	15
2.3.4 Damage patterns	13
2.3.5 Control measures	13
2.3.5.1 Insecticides	
2.3.5.2 Biological Control	
2.4 Lady bird beetle	17
2.4.1 Life cycle	17
2.5 Pesticides	17
2.5.1 Carbofuran (furdan)	
2.5.2 Admire	19
2.6 Integrated Pest Management (IPM)	20
2.7 Botanical pesticides	21
2.7.1 Neem (Azadiracta indica)	21
2.7.1.1 General	
2.7.1.2 Effective range	
2.7.1.3 Target insects	
2.7.1.4 Effects on Non-target organisms	
2.7.1.4.1 Natural enemies	
2.7.1.4.2 Other organisms	
2.7.1.5 Environmental impacts	
2.7.1.6 Potential in IPM	24
2.7.1.7 Limitation of botanical pesticides in IPM	24
2.7.1.8 Examples of some trade name of neem based pesticides	
2.7.1.9 Remarks	
2.7.2 Other herbal pest repellants	
2.7.2.1 Adhatoda (<i>Adhatoda vasica nees</i>)	
2.7.2.1.1 Chemical composition of adathoda	
2.7.2.2 Leucas (Leucas aspera)	
2.7.2.3 Lantana (Lantana camera linn)	
2.7.2.4 Erukku (Calotropis gigantean)	
2.7.2.5 Sun-hemp (Carotalaria juncea linn)	
2.1.2.5 Sun-nemp (Carotalaria Juneca mini)	

2.8 Some other insecticides used for IPM plots	28
2.8.1 Wood ash	28
2.8.2 Cow urine	29
CHAPTER 3	
Materials and Methods	30
3.1 Study site	30
3.2 Study period	30
3.3 Methodology	30
3.3.1 Crop selection	30
3.3.2 Treatments	31
3.3.2.1 Block size	31
3.3.2.2 Plant spacing	31
3.3.3 Layout of experimental plot	32
3.3.4 Preparation of pesticides	33
3.3.4.1 Preparation of a mixture of various herbal leaves solution	33
3.3.4.2 Preparation of neem leaves solution	34
3.3.4.3 Preparation of wood ash solution	35
3.3.4.4 Preparation of cow urine solution	35
3.3.5 Application of treatments	35
3.3.5.1 Application of botanicals	
3.3.5.2 Application of chemical insecticide	35
3.3.5.3 Integrated Pest Management	36
3.3.5.4 Control	
3.3.6 Collection of data	37
3.3.6.1 Pest populations	
3.3.6.2 Natural enemy populations	
3.3.6.3 Crop damage	37
3.3.6.4 Yield	38
3.3.6.5 Cost benefit analysis	38
3.3.6.6 Toxicity level of harvested pod	38
3.3.7 Statistical Analysis	39