

**THE INFLUENCE OF CONFLICT HANDLING STYLES  
ON EMPLOYEES' COMMITMENT:  
A SPECIAL REFERENCE TO GARMENT INDUSTRIES IN  
NUWARA-ELIYA DISTRICT**

**MANATHUNGA MUDIYANSELAGE MADUSHIKA SHYAMALI  
MANATHUNGA**

**1541**



FCM1541



Project Report  
Library - EUSL

Department of Management  
Faculty of Commerce and Management  
Eastern University, Sri Lanka

## ABSTRACT

Conflict that occurs in an organization cannot be distinguished but can be prevented. The right tool to prevent conflict is by managing the conflict effectively. Effective conflict management will put a stop to conflict from spreading widely in an organization. Therefore, given such importance of conflict management; organizations must discover ways to effectively manage employees' conflict which will result in their employees' attitudes and behaviors to be excellent in order to deliver maximum work quality for the team and towards organization's success. In this context, this research discusses the styles for handling conflicts among employees in Garment Industries located in Nuwara-Eliya District and employees' commitment factor that influenced them in selecting appropriate styles.

This study has employed Rahim's Organizational Conflict Inventory - II (Rahim & Magner, 1995) to identify styles in handling conflicts. This instrument has introduced five styles in handling conflict namely avoiding, dominating, obliging, compromising and integrating. The study was conducted using data from 200 respondents from the Garment Industries in Nuwara-Eliya District. The total sample is determined by stratified sampling framework. According to the descriptive analysis the level of conflict handling styles is moderate level of Garment Industries in Nuwara-Eliya District. As well as based on the result of regression analysis showed that 52% of the variance of employees' commitment is explained by conflict handling styles in Nuwara-Eliya District. Finally, based on the result demonstrated that employees are more committed towards the organization through the use of integrating style in resolving conflict. On the other hand, employees perceived dominating and avoiding styles as lowering the sense of employees' commitment.

**Key Words:** Integrating style, Obliging style, Compromising style, Dominating style, Avoiding style, Employees' Commitment

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement .....	I
Abstract .....	II
Table of Contents .....	III
List of Tables .....	VIII
List of Figures .....	XI
<b>1. Chapter One: Introduction</b>	
1.1. Background of the study .....	01
1.2. Problem Justification .....	04
1.3. Problem Statement .....	04
1.4. Research Questions .....	05
1.5. Research Objectives .....	05
1.6. Scope of the study .....	05
1.7. Significance of the study .....	06
1.8. Chapters Framework .....	07
1.9. Summary .....	08
<b>2. Chapter Two: Literature Review</b>	
2.1. Introduction .....	09
2.2. Concept of conflict .....	09
2.3. Transition in conflict thought .....	11
2.3.1 Traditional view of conflict .....	11
2.3.2 Human relation view of conflict .....	11
2.3.3 Interaction view of conflict .....	11
2.4. Types of conflict .....	12
2.5. Conflict handling styles .....	13
2.5.1 Rahim's five style conflict handling model .....	13
2.5.2 Characteristics of conflict handling styles .....	16
2.6. Concept of employees' commitment .....	18
2.6.1 Employees' commitment .....	18
2.6.2 Models of employees' commitment .....	19
2.7. Importance of employees' commitment .....	20
2.8. Conflict handling styles and employees' commitment .....	21

4.10.3 Bivariate Analysis.....	44
4.10.3.1 Correlation Analysis .....	44
4.10.3.2 Simple Regression Analysis .....	45
4.11 Summary.....	46
<b>5. Chapter Five: Data Presentation and Analysis</b>	
5.1. Introduction.....	47
5.2. Reliability Test.....	47
5.3. Data Presentation.....	48
5.3.1 Data Presentation for Personal Factors .....	48
5.3.1.1 Sample Distribution for Company .....	49
5.3.1.2 Sample Distribution of Gender .....	49
5.3.1.3 Sample distribution of Age level .....	49
5.3.1.4 Sample distribution of Department .....	50
5.3.1.5 Sample distribution of Designation .....	51
5.3.1.6 Sample distribution of Civil Status .....	51
5.3.2 Data Presentation for Research Information.....	51
5.3.2.1 Conflict handling styles.....	52
5.3.2.1.1 Integrating style .....	52
5.3.2.1.2 Obliging style .....	52
5.3.2.1.3 Compromising style .....	53
5.3.2.1.4 Dominating style .....	53
5.3.2.1.5 Avoiding style .....	53
5.3.2.2 Employee Commitment .....	54
5.4. Data Analysis for Research Information .....	54
5.4.1 Univariate Analysis .....	54
5.4.1.1 Conflict handling styles .....	54
5.4.1.1.1 Integrating Style .....	55
5.4.1.1.2 Obliging Style .....	55
5.4.1.1.3 Compromising Style .....	56
5.4.1.1.4 Dominating Style .....	56
5.4.1.1.5 Avoiding Style .....	57
5.4.1.2 Employees' Commitment .....	57
5.4.1.2.1 Affective Commitment .....	58
5.4.1.2.2 Continuous Commitment .....	58

5.4.1.2.3 Normative Commitment .....	59
<b>5.4.2 Cross Tabulation Analysis .....</b>	<b>60</b>
5.4.2.1 Mean comparison between Conflict handling styles and Personal factors .....	60
5.4.2.1.1 Conflict handling styles and Company.....	60
5.4.2.1.2 Conflict handling styles and Gender.....	61
5.4.2.1.3 Conflict handling styles and Age level .....	62
5.4.2.1.4 Conflict handling styles and Department.....	64
5.4.2.1.5 Conflict handling styles and Designation .....	65
5.4.2.1.6 Conflict handling styles and Civil Status.....	67
5.4.2.2 Mean comparison between Employees' Commitment and Personal factors .....	68
5.4.2.2.1 Employees' Commitment and Company.....	68
5.4.2.2.2 Employees' Commitment and Gender.....	70
5.4.2.2.3 Employees' Commitment and Age level .....	71
5.4.2.2.4 Employees' Commitment and Department.....	72
5.4.2.2.5 Employees' Commitment and Designation .....	74
5.4.2.2.6 Employees' Commitment and Civil Status.....	75
<b>5.4.3 Bivariate Analysis .....</b>	<b>77</b>
5.4.3.1 Correlation- Conflict handling styles and employees' Commitment .....	77
5.4.3.2 Simple Regression Analysis .....	78
5.4.3.2.1 Conflict handling styles and employee commitment	78
5.4.3.2.2 Integrating style and employees' commitment .....	79
5.4.3.2.3 Obliging style and employees' commitment .....	79
5.4.3.2.4 Compromising style and employees' commitment .....	80
5.4.3.2.5 Dominating style and employees' commitment .....	80
5.4.3.2.6 Avoiding style and employees' commitment .....	81
<b>5.5. Hypothesis Development .....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>5.6. Summary .....</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>6. Chapter Six: Findings And Discussion</b>	
6.1. Introduction .....	85
6.2. Summary of the findings on conflict handling styles .....	85
6.3. Summary of the findings on employees' commitment .....	87

6.4. Summary of the findings on personal factors with Conflict handling styles and employees' commitment.....	88
6.5. Correlation between dimensions of Conflict handling styles and Employees' Commitment.....	89
6.6. Influence of Conflict handling Styles on Employees' Commitment.....	90
6.7. Summary of Hypothesis Result .....	91
6.8. Summary.....	93
<b>7. Chapter Seven: Conclusion, Direction for Future Research and Managerial Implication</b>	
7.1. Introduction.....	94
7.2. Conclusion .....	94
7.3. Limitations and direction for future research .....	95
7.4. Managerial implication.....	96
<b>References:</b>	97
<b>Appendix</b>	
Appendix - 1: The Questionnaires used for the study.....	104
Appendix - 2: The output of the analysis.....	108