ROLE OF GOVERNMENT AND NON-GOVERNMENT SECTORS FOR CATTLE PRODUCTION IN CHENKALADY VETERINARY RANGE

THADCHANAMOORTHY SEKARAN





337

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

EASTERN UNIVERSITY

SRI LANKA

2011

ABSTRACT

Cattle production has been an integral part of the rural economy of Sri Lanka. Role of government and non-government sectors in cattle production is important factor in villages because cattle rearing are a major job in rural areas. The study was conducted in the Chenkalady veterinary range in Batticaloa district of the Eastern Province. The survey covered 150 cattle farmers from 15 villages, which were located in Chenkalady veterinary range. Stratified random sampling method was used and the data were analyzed using descriptive statistics.

The study revealed that, a majority (47.3%) of the dairy farmers in the Chenkalady veterinary range was over middle age group, majority (62%) of the dairy farmers rely on livestock farming alone or bearing it as their primary occupation. There were 76% dairy farmers practicing semi-intensive system and only 4.6% farmers were practicing intensive system and balanced taken by free range system. Majority (95%) of farmers were rearing diary animal for milk purpose. Only 10% of farmers sell their milk to the MILCO and most of the farmers from Sittandy, Vantarumoolai, Kaluvankeni and Mavadivempu in Chenkalady veterinary range. There are no milk collecting centers in Koduvamadu, Mayilampavali, Aarumukathan kudiyirupu and Mavalayaru villages which were located in Chenkalady veterinary range.

Around 59.3% of the dairy farmers were got feed from cultivated land and paddy field, 35.7% of the farmers were got feed from feed shops, 3% of farmers were got feed from rice mills and only 2% of the cattle farmers were got feed from Milco. In Chenkalady veterinary range more number of farmers (75.3%) got medicines from veterinary office, only 24.7% of farmers were got medicines from private medical

shops. Majority of the respondents (91.3%) were taken minerals from shops, only

2.7% of the respondents were obtained minerals from Milco and 6% obtained from

veterinary office.

In the study area majority of dairy farmers (84%) got extension services from

government veterinary offices, non government organization and private milk

collectors. Government veterinary offices arrange various training programme,

farmers seminar on cattle related industry development. In addition, some NGOs

arranged training programmes and seminars with monitoring of the government

veterinary offices. Eighty percentages of farmers were easily access the veterinary

surgeon or artificial insemination services during the emergency situation.

In the study area 83.3% of farmer's register in farmer organization, from the 83.3%

of farmers 70% of farmers registered in veterinary office. Only 42.7% of dairy

farmers got credit services from Samurthy and Brac NGO. Around fourteen

percentages of cattle farmers did not properly used credit services in some villages in

Chenkalady veterinary range. Sixty seven percentage of cattle farmers got loan

services, in which 52.5% were got break loan from break NGO, 41.6% livestock

loan from government banks, rest of cattle farmers not got any loan services.

This study revealed that farmers mostly prefer government services but services

rendered were poor in the study area while non-government involvement was

prominent.

Keywords: Cattle production, government sectors, non-government sectors.

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	Ш
CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	X
CHAPTER ONE	
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the study	1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	2
CHAPTER TWO	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	6
2.1 Dairy development institutes in Sri Lanka	6
2.2 Cattle Population	7
2.3 Dairy Development under the	
Agro-Livestock Development Loan Scheme	12
2.4 Information Programme conducted for the Milk Farmers	18
2.5 Institutional support to livestock sector	19
2.5.1Ministry of Livestock development	20
2.5.2 Provincial Ministry of Agriculture / Animal Production	
/ Animal Husbandry	21
2.5.3 Department of Animal Production and Health	22

2.5.4 National Eivestock Development Board		22
2.5.5 Milco (Pvt) Ltd		23
2.5.6 Mahaweli Authority of Sri Lanka		24
2.5.7 Samurdhi Authority of Sri Lanka		24
2.5.8 Provincial Departments of Animal Production an	d Health	25
2.5.9 Non-governmental Organizations		26
2.5.10 Training Providers		26
2.5.11 Milk Processors / Marketers		27
2.6 Credit Facilities for Livestock Sector		28
2.7 Livestock Insurance		29
CHAPTER THREE		
MATERIALS AND METHODS		31
3.1 Description of Study area		31
3.2 Physical features of Batticaloa		32
3.3 Climate		32
3.4 Method of Data collection		33
3.5 Selection of sample		35
3.6 Data analysis	(0)	36
s,	*	
CHAPTER FOUR	1	
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		37
4.1 Socio Economic Aspect		37
4.1.1 Gender		. 37
4.1.2 Ethnicity		37

4.1.3 Age of Respondents	39
4.1.4 Family size	39
4.1.5 Education Level of Farmers	40
4.1.6 Main Occupation	40
4.1.7 Income Level	42
4.2 Details on Livestock Farm and Farming	42
4.2.1 Experience in Cattle Rearing Farming experience	42
4.2.2 Other Animal Reared Together with Cattle	44
4.2.3 Herd size	44
4.2.4 Herd composition	45
4.2.5 System of Rearing	46
4.2.6 Purpose of Rearing	47
4.2.7 Type of Breeds	49
4.2.8 Sources of Investment	50
4.3 Management Practices	51
4.3.1 Vaccination Practice	51
4.3.2 Breeding Programme	52
4.3.3 Milk Production	54
4.3.4 Marketing of Milk	55
4.4 Organization support to cattle production	59
4.4.1 Feed support to cattle farmers	59
4.4.2 Organizations support to disease control	59
4.4.2 Mineral distribution	60
4.4.3 Veterinary and Extension Services for Dairy Farming	60
4.4.4 Membership in Dairy Organization	63

4.4.5 Credit services and Subsidy services for cattle production	63
4.4.6 Loan services to cattle famers	64
4.4.7 NGOs support for cattle production	64
4.4.8 Government organizations support for cattle production	65
4.4.9 Bank services for cattle production	65
4.4.10 Eastern University services for cattle production	65
4.4.11 Milk collecting centers and Chilling centers	
support to cattle production	66
CHAPTER FIVE	
CONCLUSION	67
REFERENCES	71
2	
ANNEXURE	76