Influence of carbonized rice husk on the growth and yield of paddy (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivation in Ampara district.

Singanayagam Thavanesan





Faculty of Agriculture

Eastern University

Sri Lanka

N

PROCESSED Main Library, EUSL

3

2015

ABSTRACT

There is several soil types exist in Ampara district among these non-calcic brown soil, LHG and regosols along the coastal belt are identified. Rice is cultivated in LHG and non-calcic brown soil but prolonged cultivation resulted decreases soil physical, chemical and biological properties which ultimately results in lower yield. Therefore, it is time to focus the attention of improving soil properties by introducing environmentally friendly way to address the above issue. To improve this situation crop residue can be reused to amend the soil of rice field. A research was conducted in different quantities of rice straw and Carbonized Rice Husk (CRH) to amend the soil and evaluated the growth and yield of rice.

To carry out the above experiment, the CRH was produced by using barrel method and decayed rice straw applied to the plots and incorporated to the soil and allow one month for decomposition. Experiment was conducted with the following treatments. T1- recommended chemical fertilizer, T2- rice straw at the rate of 1 ton/ha, T3-CRH 1 ton /ha, T4-rice straw 0.5 ton/ha + CRH 0.5 ton/ha,T5-rice straw 1 ton/ha + CRH 0.5 ton /ha and T6-rice straw 1 ton /ha +CRH 1 ton/ha . All the treatments were included recommended chemical fertilizer.

No.

During the vegetative period, the growth parameters were recorded and finally yield analysis was done. Soil sample were collected in each plot and the following were measured pH, EC and TSS and there is no significant change occurred during one month. After 60 DAP, canopy height showered significant different among



treatments, T1(52.66cm) and T6 (56.67cm) showered significant different with T2, number of leaves was high in T1,T6 after 30 DAP.

In case of leaf area at 60 DAP T1, T4, T5 and T6 were similar in their performance, T6 showered high leaf area than T1. Number of tillers, maximum number of roots were similar performance between T1 and T6.In dry weight of shoots ,dry weight of roots were similar performance between T1, T4, T5 and T6. Among these parameters, T6 was in higher mean value when comparing T1.

Number of grains /panicle for T6 (227.66), filled grains/panicle, T6 (5.42) and T1(3.7), 100 seed weight also high in T6 but there were no significant different among them. So it can be concluded rather than applying T1, T6 and T5 is possible approach to increase the seed weight for better yield under local conditions.

A

ð.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTI	астi			
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT				
TABLE OF CONTENTS				
LIST OF TABLES				
LIST OF FIGURES				
LIST OF PLATES				
ABBREVIATIONS				
CHAPTER 01				
1 IN	TRODUCTION			
CHAPT	ER 02			
2 LI1	ERATURE REVIEW			
2.1	Soil and Nutrition for Plants			
2.2	Nitrogen in plant nutrition			
2.3	Importance of organic manures7			
2.4	Effect of organic manures as nitrogen source7			
2.5	Paddy Straw			
2.6	Decomposition of organic matter			
2.7	Factors affecting the decomposition of organic matter			
2.8	C:N ratio			
2.9	Problems in using organic manures			
2.10	Improving the decomposition of organitesmanures			
CHAPTI	ĘR 0315			
3 MA	TERIALS AND METHODS			
3.1	Experimental site and soil			
3.2	Climate			
3.3	Variety			
3.4	Experiment. 16			
3.4.1 Experimental Design				
3.4.	2 Plot Design			
3.5	Agronomical practices			

3.5.1	Land preparation	17
3.5.2	2 Organic manure application	17
3.5.3	Preparation of CRH	
3.6	Planting	
3.7	Cultural Practices	
3.7.	Fertilizer Application	19
3.7.2	2 Watering	
3.7.3	B Pests	19
3.7.4	Weed Control	
3.8	Growth Assessment	
3.8.1	Plant Hight	20
3.8.2	Root Length	
3.8.3	Number of leaves	20
3.8.4	Number of roots	
3.8.5	Number of tillers	
3.8.6	Dry weight of shoot	
3.8.7	Dry weight of root	
2.0.0	Dete analysis	
5.0.7		
CHAPTE	R 04	
	TO AND DISOUSSION	22
4 RES	ULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Canopy height	22
4.2	Number of leaves	23
4.3	Leaf area	24
4.4	Number of tillers	
4.5	Number of roots	27
4.6	Length of roots	
4.7	Dry weight of shoots	
4.8	Dry weight of roots	
4.9	Yield components	
4.10	Rice vield	

СНАРТЕ	R-05	
5 CON	CLUSION	34
5 00.	CLOCECTIONS FOR FUTURE DESEADOR	25
3.1	SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	
REFERE	NCES	35
DIATES		40
ILAIES.		