EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT ORGANIC MULCHES ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF OKRA (Abelmoschus esculentus L.)

BY

ANITA THARANI SIVAGNANAM

3





DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL BIOLOGY FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE EASTERN UNIVERSITY

SRI LANKA

2015

PROCESSED Main Library, EUSL

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted at St.Michael's college Premises to investigate the effects of organic mulching on the growth and yield of okra. The variety used was "Haritha". The treatments consisted of five different types of organic mulches applied after 4 weeks of planting. T₁ plot was treated with *Leucena leucocephala*.T₂ plot was treated with *Gliceridia sepium*. T₃ plot was treated with *Eichornia cariapsis*. T₄ plot was treated with Paddy straw whereas T₅ plot served as the control(without mulch). The treatments were arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design with the afore said applications and each one was replicated four times. The experiment was carried out between February to May 2014. The data were collected for growth and yield parameters. Destructive sampling was practiced during the 4th, 6th, 8th and the 10th week after planting. Plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf area index (LAI), shoot and root dry weights were taken to assess the growth. Three plants per plot were randomly selected and tagged for data collection of length and girth of pod and pod

dry weight to assess the yield. Yield was taken ten times at alternate days. The results revealed that there were significant differences (P<0.05) between treatments in the 4th, 6th, 8th and 10th week after planting in plant height, leaf area index (LAI), number of leaves, shoot and root dry weights and pod dry weights. At the same time there were significant differences(P<0.05) between treatments in the pod length, girth and yield. Among the treatments, the highest plant performance was found in the T₁ treatment with regard to plant height, leaf area index (LAI),no of leaves, shoot and root dry weights, pod length, pod girth and pod yield. The control treatment (T₅) where no mulching was done showed the lowest plant performance with respect to plant height, leaf area index (LAI), no .of leaves, shoot dry weight and

i

root dry weights and so on.From these results it was found that mulching of *L.leucocephala* and *G.sepium* leaves has caused remarkable positive changes in the growth physiological attributes and yield of okra. Hence, it could be recommended to practice the *L.leucocephala* and *G.sepium* leaves as mulches while harvesting the pods in order to obtain high yields.

Key words : Okra , Organic mulch, L.leucocephala , G.sepium, E.crapssise

ħ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

ABSTRACT	1
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	.III
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF PLATES	X

OIL DEED 1	NUTRODUCTION	1
CHAPIERI	INTRODUCTION	***************************************

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW 5
1.1. Okra 5
2.1.1. Origin and history5
2.1.2. Botanical features of Okra
2.1.2.1 Root
2.1.2.2 Stem
2.1.2.3 Leaves7
2.1.2.4 Flowers
2.1.2.5 Fruits
2.1.2.6 Seeds
* 2.1.3. Importance
2.1.3.1. Medicinal Properties
2.1.3.2. Health Benefits of Okra
2.1.3.3. Some Traditional uses 10

2.1.4. Areas of cultivation in SriLanka 10
2.1.5. Climate and Soil requirement 11
2.1.6. Nutrative value 12
2.1.6.1 Nutrients in Okra12
2.2. Mulch 13
2.2.1 Mulching materials
2.2.2 Application of mulch14
2.2.3 Application of mulch and the benefits of mulching15
2.2.4 Mulching and its effects of Okra17
2.2.4.1. Planting Okra 17
2.2.4.2 Organic mulch17
2.2.4.3 Inorganic mulch
2.2.4.4 Caution
2.2.5 Mulches used for Okra 18
2.2.5.1 Leucuena leucocephala19
2.2.5.2 Uses19
2.2.5.2.1 Forage and Fodder 19
2.2.5.2.2 Green manure and biomass production 19
2.2.5.2.3. Food for human 20
2.2.5.2.4. Invasive properties 20
2.2.5.2.5. Other Limitation
2252 Clininidia nominum 21
$2.2.5.3. \qquad Guerrial septem \dots \dots$
2.2.5.1 Botanical Description
2.2.0.4 USES 22

٩.

			2.2.5.4.1	Green manure	e	 22
		č.	2.2.5.4.2	mulch		 23
		2.2	5.5. Echor	nia crapssise		 24
		2.2.5	5.5 Paddy	straw		 26
					FTHODA	
СН	API	ER 3	MATERIA	LS AND M	ETHODS	 .30
	3.1	The e	xperimental s	site		 30
	3.2	2 Agroi	nomic practic	es		 30
		3.2	2.1 Seed sel	ection		 .30
		3.2	2.2 Land sel	lection		 . 30
		3.2	2.3 Seed tre	atment		 31
		3.2	2.4 Planting			 31
8	3.3	Expe	rimental desig	gn		 31
	3.4	Crop	management			 33
		3.4.1	Irrigation			 33
		3.4.2.	Weeding			 . 33
		3.4.3.	Fertilizer A	pplication		 33
		3.4.4	Mulching			 34
	3.5	Growt	h measuremer	nts		 35
		3.5.1	Plant height	A.		 35
		3.5.2	Number of I	leaves		 35
9 - 19		3.5.3	Leaf area in	dex		 . 36
•		3.5.4	Shoot and ro	ot dry weight.		 36
	3.6	Yeik	d attribute			 .37
		3.6.1	Fresh and d	ry weight of po	od	 38
		3.6.2	Girth of po	d		 38

	3.6.3 Length of pod 39
	3.6.4 Shoot and root dry weight
	3.7 Harvesting
	3.8 Analysis of Data
CH	APTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 40
	4.1 Plant height40
	4.2 Leaf Area Index 42
	4.3 Number of leaves
	4.4 Root dry weight 47
	4.5 Shoot dry weight49
	4.6 Length of pod 51
	4.7 Girth of pod 52
	4.8 Average Fresh weight of pod 54
	4.9 Average Dry weight of pod 55
	4.10 Yield 57
	8
CH	IAPTER 5 CONCLUSION
SU	GGESTIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES 64
RF	CFERENCES 65
	7