

**PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATION ON THE  
PREVALENCE OF SELECTED EXTERNAL  
PARASITES ON DIFFERENT BREEDS OF NEAT  
CATTLE**

**BY**

**MEHALA SIVARUBAN**



Project Report  
Library - EUSL

**FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE  
EASTERN UNIVERSITY**

**SRI LANKA**

**2015**



**PROCESSED**  
Main Library, EUSL

## ABSTRACT

Cattle farming is a key component in Sri Lankan agriculture. Primarily, it provides high quality protein by producing milk, milk products and meat. Growing population need more improvement in the dairy production level in Sri Lanka.

External parasites transmit a wider variety of pathogens to their host, such as babesiosis, Q fever, anaplasmosis, bovine leucosis virus, anthrax, cost fever, heart water disease *etc.* Diseases transmitted by external parasites to livestock constitute a major factor which limits animal production in many tropical and sub tropical areas of the world and have been reviewed elsewhere.

External parasites represent a major obstacle to development and utilization of cattle resources and causes huge livestock production loss. In this regard a research was conducted to study the prevalence of selected external parasites on different breeds of neat cattle in Batticaloa district. Selected main parasites were ticks and lice and other available external parasites also observed during the study.

Study was carried out in four Veterinary ranges namely Kiran, Vaharai, Karadiyanaru and Kokkaddichchola in Batticaloa district. A number of 200 cattles in 100 farms were examined for this study. And other relevant data were collected by interviewing the farmer.

According to the survey, Prevalence of external parasites in dairy cattle is 73 % in Batticaloa district. Prevalence of tick is also 73 %. Prevalence of lice is 5%. The tick species infests on cattle in the area are belong to the genus *Ixodes* (10%), *Amblyoma*

(20%), *Rhiphicephalus* (60%) and *Hyalomma*(10%). At the same time 100 percent lice belongs to the *Linognathus vituli*.

The prevalence of ticks was 86%, 72%, 61% in poor, medium and good body condition scores.

In farming system, intensive rearing system showed no prevalence and semi intensive system showed 73% prevalence of external parasites. And also the farms where only cattle is reared showed lower prevalence (68%) than the mixed farms (78%).

In this investigation local cross breed animals showed lower prevalence (40%) to external parasites than exotic breeds Jersey (60%), Sahiwal (60%), and Friesian (80%).

The correlation analysis indicated that, there was a negative significant correlation ( $P < 0.01$ ) between Body condition Score of the animal and the prevalence of External parasites. Chi square analysis showed significance association with Body condition score of the animals ( $P < 0.05$  and  $\chi^2 = 49.72$ ) and different farming system ( $P < 0.05$  and  $\chi^2 = 24.2$ ) with prevalence of ticks.

Local cattle is well adopted to the dry zone. Therefore, knowledge on the breed resistance for external parasites also vital in implementing effective control strategies such as through proper breed selection and management.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
ABSTRACT.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	ix
LIST OF PLATES.....	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xi
CHAPTER 01.....	01
1.0 Introduction.....	01
CHAPTER 02.....	02
2.0 Literature Review.....	02
2.1 Cattle .....	05
2.2 Cattle Breeds.....	09
2.3 Cattle Breeds in Sri Lanka.....	10
2.4 Parasites .....	11
2.5 External Parasites.....	12
2.6 External Parasites on Cattle.....	12
2.7 Ticks.....	13
2.8 Lice.....	16
2.9 Mites.....	17
2.10 Flies.....	18
CHAPTER 03.....	21



3.0 Materials and Methods.....	21
3.1 Materials .....	21
3.2 Field Study.....	21
3.2.1 Location of study .....	21
3.2.2 Animal Survey Parasite Collection.....	23
3.2.3 Estimating the Body Condition Score .....	25
3.2.4 Body Weight Measurement .....	26
3.3 Laboratory Studies.....	27
3.3.1 Slide Preparation.....	27
3.3.2 Permanent Slide Preparation .....	28
3.4.0 Parasite Observation .....	29
3.5.0 Data Analysis.....	29
<b>CHAPTER 04.....</b>	<b>30</b>
4.0. Results and Discussion.....	30
4.1. Socio Economic Characters of the cattle farmers.....	30
4.1.1. Gender .....	30
4.1.2. Age.....	30
4.1.3. Level of Education.....	31
4.1.4. Ownership of land .....	32
4.1.5. Number of Family members.....	33
4.1.6. Primary source of income .....	33
4.2. Details of Livestock.....	34
4.2.1. Management system of neat cattle.....	35
4.2.2. Management condition .....	36
4.3. Management of cattle .....	37

4.3.1. Floor Type .....	37
4.3.2. Grazing duration per day .....	38
4.3.3. Grooming Practice.....	38
4.3.4. Cleaning.....	39
4.4. Method of waste cleaning.....	39
4.5. Factors related to external parasites.....	40
4.6. Control of parasite .....	41
4.6.1. Control Method .....	41
4.6.2. Application of indigenous method .....	42
4.6.3. Chemicals used to control	
external parasites.....	43
4.6.4. Effectiveness of control method .....	44
4.6.5. Precautions carried out .....	44
4.6.6. Awareness on Parasite control.....	45
4.6.7. Mode of Awareness.....	45
4.6.8. Rearing of pet animals.....	46
4.7. Prevalence of parasites in farms .....	47
4.7.1. Parasite Prevalence in Veterinary ranges ..	48
4.7.2. Ticks Prevalence.....	49
4.8. Prevalence in cattle breeds .....	50
4.9. Individual Animal Data .....	53
4.9.1. Sex .....	53
4.9.2. Breed.....	54
4.9.3. Other features .....	54
4.9.4. Physiological stage .....	55

4.9.5. Health condition .....	55
4.9.6. Body Condition Score .....	56
4.9.7. Places where the parasites found .....	57
4.9.8. Seasons of prevalence.....	58
4.9.9. Types of parasites .....	58
4.10. Relationship Analysis .....	59
4.10.1. Correlation analysis .....	59
4.10.2. Association between selected characters and prevalence of parasites.....	60
<b>CHAPTER 05.....</b>	<b>62</b>
5.0. Conclusion and Suggestions.....	62
5.1. Conclusion.....	62
5.2 Suggestion for further research .....	63
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>64</b>

## APPENDIX

1. Questionnaire
2. Plates