

**EFFICIENCY OF COAGULATION PROCESSES FOR THE
TREATMENT OF GROUNDWATER**



BY

JEEVASULUXEY JEEVARATNAM



FAG464



Project Report
Library - EUSL

464

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE

EASTERN UNIVERSITY

SRI LANKA

2016

PROCESSED
Main Library - EUSL

ABSTRACT

Groundwater is formed by infiltration of precipitation, surface runoff or water stored in surface bodies including rivers and lakes to an aquifer. Ground water quality is important as it is the main factor determining its suitability for drinking, domestic, agricultural and industrial purposes. Groundwater is being polluted by various ways. Seasonal flood is one of the ways of polluting the groundwater severely in most of the areas of Batticaloa District. Turbidity is an easily measured parameter in water treatment that provides information about water quality. In drinking water treatment, alum coagulation and flocculation are common processes used to remove turbidity and natural organic matters. In these processes, the addition of alum typically neutralizes negatively charged particles in solution minimizing electrostatic repulsive forces. This leads to the formation of particles which aggregate into larger particles known as flocculant particles or “flocs”. The aggregated flocs can then be removed by filtration.

This study was designed to determine the optimal alum dose required to treat the ground water in Sithandi village, Sithandi G. N. Division in Eravur Pattu D.S Division, Batticaloa District. The total of 15 wells which were polluted by recent seasonal flood, were selected randomly from the village for analyzing the samples. The most problematic well among the 15 wells, was selected to collect the samples during the period from November 2015 to January 2016 with three replicates. Analyzing of sample was done at the laboratory of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Eastern University, Sri Lanka. Water quality parameters tested included turbidity, alkalinity, total suspended solids, total dissolved solids, total solids, electrical conductivity, pH and temperature.

Collected water sample was treated with Ammonium alum at different dosage (control, 2.5 mg/l, 5 mg/l, 7.5 mg/l, 10 mg/l, and 12.5 mg/l) with three replicates. In these treatments, 2.5 mg/l of alum resulted the pH of (8.39 ± 0.01) , turbidity of (8.45 ± 0.12) FTU, alkalinity of (2.20 ± 0) mg/l, total suspended solids of (166.67 ± 57.74) mg/l, total dissolved solids of (500.00 ± 0) mg/l, total solids of (600.00 ± 0) mg/l and electrical conductivity of (500.00 ± 0) $\mu\text{S/cm}$. Alum of 5mg/l resulted the pH of (8.39 ± 0.01) , turbidity of (1.33 ± 0.03) FTU, alkalinity of (2.20 ± 0) mg/l, total suspended solids of (0.00 ± 0) mg/l, total dissolved solids of (533.33 ± 33.33) mg/l, total solids of (533.33 ± 57.74) mg/l, electrical conductivity of (516.67 ± 5.77) $\mu\text{S/cm}$. Alum of 7.5 mg/l resulted the pH of (8.35 ± 0.01) , turbidity of (1.30 ± 0.02) FTU, alkalinity of (2.13 ± 0.06) mg/l, total suspended solids of (0.00 ± 0) mg/l, total dissolved solids of (533.33 ± 33.33) mg/l, total solids of (533.33 ± 57.74) mg/l and electrical conductivity of (530.00 ± 0) $\mu\text{S/cm}$. Alum of 10 mg/l resulted the pH of (8.29 ± 0.01) , turbidity of (1.24 ± 0.01) FTU, alkalinity of (2.07 ± 0.06) mg/l, total suspended solids of (0.00 ± 0) mg/l, total dissolved solids of (566.67 ± 33.33) mg/l, total solids of (566.67 ± 57.74) mg/l, electrical conductivity of (540.00 ± 0) $\mu\text{S/cm}$ and 12.5 mg/l of alum resulted the pH of (8.24 ± 0.01) , turbidity of (1.22 ± 0.01) FTU, alkalinity of (2.00 ± 0) mg/l, total suspended solids of (0.00 ± 0) mg/l, total dissolved solids of (600.00 ± 0) mg/l, total solids of (600.00 ± 0) mg/l and electrical conductivity of (563.33 ± 5.77) $\mu\text{S/cm}$. Even the alum doses of 5 mg/l, 7.5 mg/l, 10 mg/l, and 12.5 mg/l effectively reduced the turbidity, total suspended solids and total solids, the alum dose of 5 mg/l is recommended to because of minimum dosage and effective treatment.

Key words: Alum, Coagulation, Groundwater, Turbidity

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
LIST OF TABLES.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	viii
ABBREVIATIONS.....	ix
CHAPTER 01.....	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives of the study.....	3
CHAPTER 02.....	4
LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Groundwater	4
2.2 Groundwater of Sri Lanka	5
2.3 Water pollution	7
2.4 Groundwater pollution.....	8
2.5 Groundwater pollution in Sri Lanka	9
2.6 Factors affecting groundwater pollution.....	9
2.6.1 Chemical properties	9
2.6.2 Soil properties.....	10
2.6.3 Site conditions	11
2.7 Groundwater quality	12
2.8 Water quality parameters	12
2.8.1 Physical parameters	12
2.8.1.1 Turbidity	13
2.8.1.2 pH.....	14
2.8.1.3 Electrical conductivity (EC)	14
2.8.1.4 Total solids (TS)	15
2.8.1.5 Total suspended solids (TSS).....	15
2.8.1.6 Total dissolved solids (TDS)	15
2.8.1.7 Alkalinity	16
2.8.1.8 Temperature	16

2.9	WHO guidelines for drinking water quality	17
2.10	Sri Lankan standards guidelines for drinking water quality	18
2.11	Coagulation in water treatment.....	19
2.11.1	Scientific theories of coagulation	20
2.12	Coagulation process	22
2.12.1	Coagulant and its activities.....	25
2.12.2	Coagulant selection criteria	27
2.12.3	Best coagulant.....	29
2.12.4	Effect of coagulant.....	30
2.12.5	Coagulation and settling as pretreatment.....	31
CHAPTER 03	34
MATERIAL AND METHODS	34
3.1	Description of study area	34
3.2	Questionnaire survey	34
3.3	Sample collection.....	35
3.4	Treatment of water samples	36
3.5	Analysis of water samples	36
3.5.1	Temperature.....	36
3.5.2	Turbidity	36
3.5.3	pH	36
3.5.4	Electrical conductivity	37
3.5.5	Total solids (TS).....	37
3.5.6	Total dissolved solids (TDS)	37
3.5.7	Total suspended solids (TSS)	37
3.5.8	Alkalinity	37
3.6	Data analysis	38
CHAPTER 04	39
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	39
4.1	Rainfall distribution	39
4.2	Water quality analysis.....	40
4.2.1	Standard water quality parameters	40
4.2.2	Changes in the water quality parameters with Treatment	40
4.2.2.1	pH.....	40
4.2.2.2	Turbidity	42
4.2.2.3	Alkalinity	44