

**THE FARMERS PARTICIPATION IN IRRIGATION MANAGEMENT
AND IT IS IMPACT ON FARMERS PRODUCTION AND INCOME**

BY



H. G. THARANGA INDUNIL ARIYASINGHA



Project Report
Library - EUSL

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE EASTERN UNIVERSITY

SRI LANKA

2017

PROCESSED
2018.06.22 Library, EUSL

ABSTRACT

There is more than two thirds of the land area in the dry zone and it is not productive without irrigation. Improvement of land productivity through irrigation can contribute significantly to increasing agricultural productivity in Sri Lanka. This study analyzed the Kaudalla Tank Irrigation System in the Medirigiya DS area in the Polonnaruwa District, In relation farmer participation in irrigation water management, land productivity, paddy yields and farm income and problems faced in water distribution during the last Maha (2016/17) and Yala (2017) seasons. A pre-tested structured questionnaire was used to collect primary data from randomly selected 100 paddy farmers on the Right Bank of the Kaudalla Tank Main Channel distribution system. Secondary data were obtained from the Irrigation Dept., Polonnaruwa, DS office, Medirigiriya. Data analysis was done using the SPSS software confining to descriptive statistics, frequency and Likert Scale estimation.

The results revealed that only 44% of farm land was directly irrigated by irrigation channel water inflow. Farmers who live in Head-end of the Main channel used longer time duration for irrigating. The length of time taken to irrigate one acre of land was 2 hours for 83% of farmers, while 17% farmers needed 3 hours for it. Majority of paddy farmers (77%) had their own land for paddy farming, More than 60% of farmers obtained income in the range of Rs.100,000 to Rs.119,999, while the mean income was Rs.113,260 per season.

Farmers who live in Head-end of the Main channel used long time duration of irrigating paddy land during a season.

In the Yala season, the Cost of Production of paddy was higher than the Maha season because inputs cost goes up, In the cost of production of paddy, costs for hired labour, ploughing and harvesting were the larger shares in both Maha and Yala seasons. Farmers obtained a higher production in Maha season than the Yala season because in the Maha season the diverted water quantity were higher. Mean paddy yield in the Maha season was 2,146.75 kg per acre, but in the Yala it was 1,822.5 kg per ac. The number of cleaning programs organized Farmers Organization varied during the last five years. The head-end and tail-end farmers participation was higher than the mid-channel farmers in FO meetings. The Operational & Maintenance of the Tank Irrigation System cost was Rs.3,707 per acre each season

The study indicated that farmers moderately agreed on FO activities in water management to be more helpful, farmers felt that FO activities helps to save irrigation water in the tank, farmers moderately agreed in FO's role had helped proper tank management, indicated a low level of dissatisfaction on water authority personnel, indicated on average level distrust towards FO representatives, and also indicated an average level of farmers' participation in irrigation management process.

Keywords: Tank irrigation Paddy, Irrigation fees, cost of production, Farmer participation, Income.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
ANNEXURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	x
ABBREVIATION	xi
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Research Questions	5
1.4 Objectives of the Study	6
1.4.1 Major objective:	6
1.4.2 Specified objectives	6
1.4.3 Limitations of the study	6
CHAPTER 2	7
Literature Review	7
2.1.1 The Irrigation system in Srilanka	7
2.1.2 Irrigation Development and Management	8

2.1.3 Farmer's Participation for Irrigation Management	9
2.1.4 Participatory Irrigation Management (PIM) Policy	10
2.1.5 Impact on Irrigation Service	11
2.1.6 Impact on Agricultural Productivity	13
2.1.7 Factors Affecting Farmers' Participation	15
CHAPTER 3.....	19
RESEACH METHODOLOGY.....	19
3.1 Study area.....	19
3.1.1 Polonnaruwa District.....	19
3.1.2 Medirigiriya DS Division	19
3.1.3 Details of Kaudulla tank.....	22
3.2 Method of data collection.....	23
3.3. Sampling and distribution of sample.....	24
3.4. Analytical Procedure	24
3.5. Evaluation method	25
3.5.1. Farmers involvement in cleaning and water distribution	25
3.5.2. Evaluation of Farming activity by FO.....	26
3.5.3. Issues for Farmers participation in irrigation management.....	28
CHAPTER 4.....	30
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	30
4.1 Socio economic characteristics	30
4.1.1 Socio economic characteristics of respondents.....	30
4.1.2. Involvement of family members	31

4.1.3. Farming experience (Years).....	32
4.1.4. Education level of farmers	32
4.1.5. Farming category.....	33
4.1.6. Nature of land ownership	33
4.1.7. Income in a cultivation season (Maha and Yala).....	34
4.2. Extent of land	34
4.2.1. Extent of land irrigated last two seasons (Maha and Yala)	34
4.2.2. Type of water distribution.....	35
4.3. Management of the irrigation channel and water distribution.....	36
4.3.1. Numbers of time diverting of from The main inlet in Maha season.....	36
4.3.2. No of diversion of water from the main inlet in Yala	36
4.3.3. Average length of one irrigation (Hrs) in Maha season.	37
4.3.4. Average length of one irrigation (Hrs) Yala Season.....	38
4.4. Cost of Paddy production.....	39
4.4.1. Cost of Paddy Production for Maha Season.....	39
4.4.2. Cost of Paddy Production for Yala Season.	40
4.4.3. Total Paddy Production in Maha and Yala (kg per ac)	41
4.4.4. Income from Paddy harvest In Maha and Yala seasons.....	42
4.4.5. Paddy Production (kg per ac) in Head, Middle, Tail of Maha and Yala seasons.	42
4.5 Farmer's participation in tank management.....	43
4.5.1. Number of canal cleaning programs conducted by the Farmers Organizations.....	43
4.5.2. Number of program participated by farmers in tank cleaning programs.....	44