

**COMPARISON AND DETERMINATION OF CAFFEINE
AND OTHER CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS IN
DIFFERENT COFFEE VARIETIES**



**BY
JEEWEN KUMARGE**



**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL CHEMISTRY
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
EASTERN UNIVERSITY
SRI LANKA**

2018

**PROCESSED
Main Library, EUSL**

ABSTRACT

Coffee is one of the most widely consumed hot beverages all over the world. *Coffea Arabica* is the most important species and that occupies 80% of the world coffee trade because of its distinct flavor and aroma. At present coffee is considered as a functional food, primarily due to its high content of antioxidant and other beneficial biological properties. There are some chemical components are present in coffee such as caffeine, phenolic compound, melanoidins, minerals and others which are more important for industrial application and also these components give more health benefits. Caffeine is an alkaloid of the methylxanthine family. Caffeine is naturally found in the leaves, seeds and fruit of at least 63 plant species worldwide. The one of the most commonly known source of caffeine is coffee. Coffee beans contain 0.8-2.8% caffeine depending on species and origin and it contributes to 10 to 30% of the bitter taste of coffee brews. The amount of caffeine in coffee beverages varies depending upon serving size, the type of product, and brewing method.

Total coffee extent in Sri Lanka is about 6000ha, out of which about 50% is in central province. The central research station, Department of Export Agriculture, Matale they are cultivating many coffee varieties. Hybrido-de-Timor (HDT), HDT x Takari (S9) and HDTxCatura (Catimor) are high yielding varieties. Objective of this study was to investigate the comparative phytochemical, mineral and proximate content between *Coffea arabica* having three different varieties, namely S9, HDT and Catimor that have different genetic characteristics with same agro climatic condition in the plantation. Standard Analytical procedures were followed to analyze phytochemical, mineral and proximate composition. The phytochemical analysis suggested quantitatively higher percentage of Caffeine content in S9 variety (1.029%) than other varieties. The results

of proximate analysis revealed that the S9 variety was richer in acid insoluble ash (3.3347%) and total ash (4.7047%) content while the HDT variety exhibiting greater amount of water soluble matter (24.4307) and crude fat (25.5%). The Catimor variety showed that highest moisture content (0.94467%). In mineral analysis, significantly higher amount of Phosphorous (1.893%), Zinc (0.0363%) and Potassium (1.313%) were observed in S9 variety, while HDT variety contained highest amount of magnesium (0.181%) and Catimor contained highest amount of Ferrous (0.5677%) and Calcium (0.6506%). In sensory evaluation coffee brew of S9 variety get most preference on color (3.931), taste (3.436), aroma (3.8636) and overall acceptance (3.588) like attributes than other varieties. But for mouth feel coffee brew of HDT variety having most preference and value was 3.293.

LIST OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	I
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	III
LIST OF CONTENTS.....	IV
LIST OF TABLES.....	X
LIST OF PLATES.....	XI
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XII
ABBREVIATION.....	XIII
CHAPTER 1.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of Study.....	1
CHAPTER 2.....	6
LITRATURE REVIEW.....	6
2.1 Morphology of Arabica Coffee.....	6
2.2 Physiology of Arabica Coffee.....	8
2.3 Ecological Requirements of Coffee.....	9
2.3.1 Temperature.....	10
2.3.2 Water.....	10
2.3.3 Light and Wind.....	13
2.3.4 Soil Factors.....	14
2.4 Coffee Harvesting.....	15

2.5 Processing of Coffee.....	15
2.5.1 Dry Processing.....	15
2.5.2 Wet Processing.....	16
2.6 Coffee Roasting Process.....	16
2.6.1 Roasting Stages.....	16
2.6.2 Drying Stage.....	17
2.6.3 Browning Stage.....	17
2.6.4 Development or Roasting Stage.....	17
2.6.5 Degree of Roasting.....	17
2.6.6 Roast Time.....	18
2.7 Grinding of Coffee Beans.....	18
2.8 Importance of Coffee to Agriculture.....	19
2.9 Chemical Composition of Coffee Beans.....	19
2.10 Qualitative Analysis and Quantitative Analysis.....	20
2.10.1 Caffeine.....	20
2.10.1.1 Effect of Caffeine.....	21
2.10.1.2 Decaffeination.....	22
2.10.2 Phenolic Compounds.....	22
2.10.3 Melanoidin in Coffee.....	23
2.10.4 Lipids.....	24
2.10.5 Ash (Minerals).....	24

2.10.6 Volatile Components	25
2.10.7 Moisture Content	25
2.10.8 Alkaloid in coffee	26
2.10.9 Flavonoids.....	27
2.11 UV- VIS detection	28
2.12 Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS)	29
2.13 Main Beneficial Health Effects of Coffee	29
2.14 Economics	30
CHAPTER 3	32
MATERIALS AMD METHODS.....	32
3.1 Qualitative Photochemical Analysis.....	32
3.1.1 Sample Preparation	32
3.1.2 Dragendorff's test for Alkaloids	33
3.1.3 Shinoda's test for Flavonoids.....	33
3.1.4 Benedict's test for Carbohydrates	33
3.1.5 Detection of Saponin by Foam Test.....	33
3.1.6 Detection of Steroids by Sulphuric Test	33
3.2 Quantitative Chemical Analysis	34
3.2.1 Determination of Caffeine Content.....	34
3.3. Physico - Chemical Analysis	35
3.3.1 Determination of Moisture Content	35

3.3.2 Analysis of Total Ash Content.....	36
3.3.3 Analysis of Acid- Insoluble Ash Content.....	37
3.3.4 Analysis of Crude Fat.....	38
3.4 Analysis of Water Soluble Matter Content.....	38
3.5 Mineral Analysis.....	39
3.5.1 Sample Preparation.....	39
3.5.2 Determination of Phosphorus.....	39
3.5.3 Determination of Potassium.....	40
3.5.4 Determination of Magnesium.....	41
3.5.5 Determination of Calcium.....	42
3.5.6 Determination of Ferrous.....	42
3.5.7 Determination of Zinc.....	43
3.6 Sensory Evaluation.....	43
3.7 Data Analysis.....	44
CHAPTER 04.....	45
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	45
4.1 Qualitative Analysis of Phytochemicals in Different Extract Solutions with Different Varieties of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	45
4.1.1 Determination of Alkaloid.....	45
4.1.2 Determination of Flavonoid.....	45
4.1.3 Determination of Carbohydrate.....	46
4.1.4 Determination of Saponin.....	46

4.1.5 Determination of Steroid.....	47
4.2 Comparative Phytochemical Characteristic Analysis of Three Different Varieties of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	48
4.2.1 Caffeine Content of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	48
4.3 Comparative Proximate Analysis of Three Different Varieties of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	49
4.3.1 Moisture Percentage of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	49
4.3.2 Total Ash Percentage of Three Different Varieties of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	49
4.3.3 Acid Insoluble Ash Percentage of Three Different Varieties of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	52
4.3.4 Fat Percentage of Three Varieties of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	52
4.3.5 Water Soluble Matter Percentage of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	52
4.4 Comparative Mineral Analysis of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	53
4.4.1 Phosphorous Percentage of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i> ..	53
4.4.2 Potassium Percentage of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	55
4.4.3 Magnesium Percentage of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i> ...	55
4.4.4 Calcium Percentage of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	55
4.4.5 Ferrous Percentage of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	56
4.4.6 Zinc Percentage of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	56
4.5 Sensory Evaluation of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	57

4.5.1 Sensory Evaluation on Color of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	57
4.5.2 Sensory Evaluation on Aroma of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	57
4.5.3 Sensory Evaluation on Taste of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	59
4.5.4 Sensory Evaluation on Mouth Feels of Three Different Variety of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	59
4.5.5 Sensory Evaluation on Overall Acceptance of Three Different Varieties of <i>Coffea arabica</i>	59
CHAPTER 05	60
CONCLUSIONS	60
REFERENCES	62
APPENDICES	i