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## Read the case study and answer the questions given below.

## Appraising the Administrators at Sweetwater Company

Mr. Ram, newly appointed vice president for administrative affairs at Sweetwater company. Three weeks after he came on board in September, Sweetwater's president told Ram that one of his first tasks was to improve the appraisal system used to evaluate secretarial and clerical performance at Sweetwater company. Apparently, the main difficulty was that the performance appraisal was traditionally tied directly to salary increases given at the end of the year. So most administrators were less than accurate when they used the graphic rating forms that were the basis of the clerical staff evaluation. In fact, what usually happened was that each administrator simply rated his or her clerk or secretary as "excellent." This cleared the way for all support staff to receive a maximum pay increase every year.

But the current company budget simply did not include enough money to fund another "maximum" annual increase for every staff. Furthermore, Sweetwater's president felt that the custom of providing invalid feedback to each secretary on his or her year's performance was not productive, so he had asked the new vice president to revise the system. In October, Ram sent a memo to all administrators telling them that in the future no more than half the secretaries reporting to any particular administrator could be appraised as "excellent." This move, in effect, forced each supervisor to begin ranking his or her secretaries for quality of performance. The vice president's memo met widespread resistance immediately from administrators, who were afraid that many of their secretaries would begin leaving for more rewarding jobs in private industry, and from secretaries, who felt that the new system was unfair and reduced each secretary's chance of receiving a maxi-mum salary increase. A handful of secretaries had begun quietly picketing outside the president's home on the university campus. The picketing, cutting re-marks by dissatisfied administrators, and rumors of a forthcoming slowdown by the secretaries (there were about 250 on the company) made Ram wonder whether he had made the right decision by setting up forced ranking. He knew, however, that there were a few
performance appraisal experts in the company, so he decided to set up an appointmer them to discuss the matter.

He met with them the next morning. He explained the situation as he had found it: Ther appraisal system had been set up when the company first opened ten years earlie, $t$ appraisal form had been developed primarily by a committee of secretaries. This onve appraisal (in March) had run into problems almost immediately, since it was apparentifir start that administrators varied widely in their interpretations of job standards, as well as conscientiously they filled out the forms and supervised their secretaries. Moreover, at of the first year it became obvious to every-one that each secretary's salary increasen directly to the March appraisal. For example, those rated "excellent" received the ma increases, those rated "good" received smaller increases, and those given neither rating only the standard across-the-board cost-of-living increase. Since Sweetwater comer particular have paid secretaries somewhat lower salaries than those prevailing in industry, some secretaries left in a temper that first year. From that time on most admirt simply rated all secretaries excellent in order to reduce staff turnover, thus ensuring maximum increase. In the process, they also avoided the hard feelings aroused significant performance differences otherwise highlighted by administrators.

Two Sweetwater experts agreed to consider the problem, and in two weeks they cams the vice president with the following recommendations. First, the form used to secretaries was grossly insufficient. It was unclear what "excellent" or "quality of work in addition, they recommended that the vice president cancel his earlier memo andm attempt to force Sweetwater company administrators arbitrarily to rate at least his secretaries as something less than excellent. The two consultants pointed out that this fact, an unfair procedure since it was quite possible that any particular administratormif? staff who were all or virtually all excellent or conceivably, although less likely, 2 standard. The experts said that the way to get all the administrators to take the apprais more seriously was to stop tying it to salary increases. In other words, they recommer every administrator fill out a form at least once a year and then use this form as thete counseling session. Salary increases would have to be made on some basis other performance appraisal, so that administrators would no longer hesitate to fill outt forms honestly. Ram thanked the two experts and went back to his office to pris recommendations.

Questions
a) Identify the problems related to current Performance Appraisal System in the above case study.
(05 Marks)
b) What are the resistances came from the employees when introduce a new Performance Appraisal System?
(06 Marks)
c) What Performance Appraisal System would you develop for the Administrators at the organizational level?
d) Propose appropriate recommendations to the Vice President of Sweetwater Company to solve above problem.
(06 Marks)
(Total 23 Marks)
a) What is the difference between Performance Management and Performance Appraisal?
(04 marks)
b) "Internal alignment is to comparisons among jobs or skill levels inside a single organization". Why is internal alignment an important policy in a strategic perspective of compensation? (05 marks)
c) "Performance Evaluation contributes to successful performance of many Human Resource Management functions". Discuss.
d) "Possibility of occurring evaluator errors is a severe threat to successful implementation of a Performance Evaluation programme". Explain any three evaluator errors of a Performance Evaluation programme.

Q3. a) What are the organizational and external factors that influence internal pay structure company?
b) "Management by Objectives (MBO) has many advantages over traditional Perform Evaluation Methods" Discuss.
c) What are the criteria for an Effective Performance Appraisal System and list out ando the elements of job performance.
d) "Compensation Strategy sets a clear guidance for the key remuneration principles companies". How companies would develop an effective compensation strategy?

Q4. a) "Performance Appraisal is a long-term feature of human society and is an often dete human resource activity". List down various contextual issues related to perforn appraisal system of Sri Lankan companies.
b) Differentiate the terms "Access Discrimination" and "Valuation Discrimination". A factors that would lead to the Earnings Gap of the employees?
c) "Establishing objectives of performance evaluation is an integral part of the prowe Performance Evaluation". Do you agree or not? Why?
a) Contemporary organizations are using modern techniques to measure performance of the employees and organizations. Briefly describe 360- degree feedback method that would be used to measure the performance.
(05 Marks)
b) "The best practices approach is superior to the best fit approach when designing a compensation system". Discuss.
(06 Marks)
c) You are the Human Resource consultant in a small business with about 40 employees. At the present time they offer only 05 days vacation, 05 paid holidays and legally mandated benefits such as insurance payment. Develop a list of other benefits you believe they should offer, along with your reasons for suggesting them.
(07 Marks)
(Total 18 Marks)

