

**EASTERN UNIVERSITY, SRI LANKA**  
**SECOND EXAMINATION IN SCIENCE 2001 / 2002**

( APRIL' 2002 )

**FIRST SEMESTER**

**ST 201 - STATISTICAL INFERENCE I**

**ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS**

**Time : Two Hours**



Q1. (a) Define

(i) A maximum likelihood estimator

(ii) An unbiased estimator

(b) Let  $X$  be the number of successes in a binomial experiment with  $n$  trials and the probability of success  $p$ . Find the maximum likelihood estimate for  $p$  and show that it is unbiased. Derive the variance of this estimator. Is this estimator consistent? Justify your answer.

(c) A random sample of  $n$  observations  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is taken on a random variable  $X$  which has a normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Assuming  $\sigma^2$  is known, find.

(i) The method of moments estimate for  $\mu$ ;

(ii) The maximum likelihood estimate for  $\mu$ .

Q2. A random sample  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is taken from a poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$  and it is required to estimate  $\theta = \lambda^2$ .

(i) Show that the sample mean,  $\bar{X}$ , is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

(ii) Evaluate  $E(\bar{X})$  and  $E(\bar{X}^2)$  and hence find an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  based on  $\bar{X}$ .

(iii) Find the Cramer - Rao lower bound for the variance of unbiased estimators of  $\theta$ .

(iv) Find the efficiency of your estimator.

Q3. (a) Describe the Neyman - Pearson approach to testing one simple hypothesis against another simple hypothesis.

(b) The number of complaints in successive weeks about a certain product are denoted by  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ . These random variables are independent, Poisson with mean  $\mu\theta$ , where  $\mu$  is known and  $\theta$  is unknown. It is required to test the null hypothesis  $H_0: \theta = 1$  against the alternative  $H_1: \theta = 2$ .

(i) A test has a critical region  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \text{ such that } \sum x_i > m\}$  where  $m$  has been chosen so that the test has the required significance level. Show that this is the Neyman - Pearson test.

(ii) State, with reasons whether this test is uniformly most powerful for the hypothesis  $H_0: \theta = 1$  against the alternative  $H_1: \theta > 1$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $n = m = 2$ . Find the significance level and power of the test at  $\theta = 2$

Q4. (a) Define

(i) Type 1 error and

(ii) Type II error.

(b) A coin is tossed 5 times. Let the probability of heads at each throw be  $p$ . To test  $H_0: p = \frac{1}{2}$  against the alternative  $H_1: p = \frac{1}{2}$ , the critical region is taken to be  $x < 2$ , where  $x$  is the number of heads obtained in the 5 throws. Find type 1 and type II errors and the power of the test.

(c) On the basis of the results obtained from a random sample of 100 men from a particular district, the 95% confidence interval for the mean height of the men in the district is found to be (177.22 cm, 179.18 cm). Find the value of  $\bar{x}$ , the mean of the sample, and  $\sigma$ , the standard deviation of the normal population from which the sample is drawn. Calculate the 98% confidence interval for the mean height.